

Full Length Research Paper

The initial report of *Mycoplasma bovis* infection in dairy Cattles in Guangzhou, subtropical southern China

Hu Yip

Department of Animal Science and Technology, Faculty of Agricultural Science. City University of Hong-kong, Hong-Kong, China.
E-mail: Huyip@yahoo.co.uk

Accepted 16 November, 2014

Mycoplasma bovis is a major bacterial pathogen causing mastitis in dairy cattle, pneumonia and arthritis, and reduced weight gain in calves and reproductive problems in both dairy cattle and bulls, resulting in significant economic losses. The objective of the present investigation was to examine the *M. bovis* seroprevalence in dairy cattle in Guangzhou, subtropical Southern China by using an enzyme-linked immunosorbent assay (ELISA). A total of 370 serum samples of dairy cattle were collected between July, 2009 and March, 2010 from 5 different farms and examined for *M. bovis* antibodies using a commercially available ELISA kit. The overall seroprevalence of *M. bovis* infection in dairy cattle was 5.95% (22/370). One year-old dairy cattle had the highest seroprevalence (10.5%), followed by dairy cattle of 3 year-old (9.61%). Dairy cattle without pregnancy had the highest seroprevalence (9.26%), followed by dairy cattle with 2 pregnancies (8.62%). However, no statistically significant association was found between *M. bovis* infection and ages or numbers of pregnancies ($P > 0.05$). These results indicate that *M. bovis* infection was present in dairy cattle in Guangzhou, subtropical Southern China, and integrated strategies and measures should be executed to control and prevent *M. bovis* infection and disease outbreak in the study region.

Key words: *Mycoplasma bovis*, seroprevalence, dairy cattle, China, enzyme-linked immunosorbent assay (ELISA).

INTRODUCTION

Mycoplasma bovis (formerly *Mycoplasma agalactiae* subsp. *bovis*) is a significant but sometimes neglected bacterial pathogen of adult dairy cattle, intensively reared beef and dairy calves (Maunsell et al., 2009, 2011; Rérat et al., 2012). *M. bovis* was first isolated in 1961 from a cow with severe mastitis in USA and described as a cause of respiratory disease in 1976 (Nicholas and Ayling, 2003; Caswell and Archambault, 2008). This pathogen can cause

mastitis in cows, pneumonia, arthritis and reduced weight gain in calves and reproductive problems in both cows and bulls (Tenk et al., 2004; Tenk et al., 2006; Caswell and Archambault, 2008; Maunsell et al., 2011; Punyapornwithaya et al., 2010, 2011). *M. bovis* has also been associated with abortion and infertility, tenosynovitis, endometritis, otitis media, decubital abscesses, kerato conjunctivitis, oophoritis, salpingitis and seminal

Table 1. Seroprevalence of *M. bovis* infection in dairy cattle by ELISA in Guangzhou, subtropical southern China.

Farm code	Number examined	Number positive	Prevalence (%)
A	74	2	2.70
B	73	5	6.85
C	60	9	15.0
D	80	3	3.75
E	83	3	3.61
Total	370	22	5.95

vesiculitis (Nicholas and Ayling, 2003; Caswell and Archambault, 2008; Maunsell et al., 2011; Soehnen et al., 2011). Clinical diseases caused by *M. bovis* in dairy cattle is often chronic, debilitating and no response to antibiotic treatment, whereas acute infection tends to become chronic leading to poor performance, culling and death loss (Maunsell et al., 2009, 2011), causing significant economic losses to both the dairy and meat industries (Nicholas and Ayling, 2003; Kauf et al., 2007; Maunsell et al., 2011).

M. bovis infection can be definitively diagnosed by a variety of methods, including isolation of the causative agent from individual animals or herds, serological tests and specific polymerase chain reaction (PCR) methods. In recent years, enzyme-linked immunosorbent assays (ELISA) and PCR-based methods have gradually replaced culture as the method of choice for detecting *M. bovis*. In particular, ELISA has been demonstrated as more reliable method for the herd diagnosis of *M. bovis* infection, as antibody levels detected by ELISA remain high for many months (Nicholas and Ayling, 2003; Tenk et al., 2004; Tenk et al., 2006; Ball and Nicholas, 2011; Maunsell et al., 2011).

Dairy cattle are one of the most important economic animals raised and farmed for milk production in Guangzhou, subtropical southern China. However, little is known about the infection of *M. bovis* in dairy cattle in Guangzhou. The objective of the present investigation was to determine the seroprevalence of *M. bovis* infection in dairy cattle in Guangzhou using ELISA, which may provide base-line data for the implementation of effective strategies and measures for the control and prevention of *M. bovis* infection in dairy cattle in this region.

MATERIALS AND METHODS

The investigated city

The survey was conducted in Guangzhou city which is the capital of Guangdong Province, China and has a subtropical monsoon climate. Crossed by the Tropic of Cancer, it is located between longitude 112° 57' to 114° 3' east and latitude 22° 26' to 23° 56' north, bordering on the South China Sea. It is the biggest cosmopolitan city in South China, and also China's Southern Gateway to the world. The annual average temperature is 22.8°C, the average relative humidity is about 68%, and the annual rainfall at the urban area is over 1600 mm. The city covers a total area of 7434.4 km² and has a population

of approximately 12 millions.

Collection of serum samples

Blood samples were collected from 370 dairy cattle on 5 farms between July, 2009 and March, 2010 in Guangzhou city, Guangdong Province, China. The dairy cattle populations represented a local breed (Chinese Holstein) and introduced breed (American/Australian Holstein-Friesian and British Jersey). The animals of each herd were randomly selected, and 1 blood sample was collected from each animal. All the blood samples were immediately transported to the laboratory at College of Veterinary Medicine, South China Agricultural University, Guangzhou, China. Blood samples were centrifuged at 3,000 rpm for 10 min, and serum was obtained, frozen, and stored at -20°C until tested for assessing antibodies to *M. bovis*. Biometric data for dairy cattle, including age, breed and numbers of past pregnancies were obtained through a questionnaire at the time of blood collection.

Serological examination

Antibodies to *M. bovis* were examined by ELISA using a commercially available kit (Bovine *Mycoplasma* antibody ELISA kit, Huijia BioTech, Ltd, Xiamen, China) according to the manufacturer's recommendations and protocols reported previously (Fu et al., 2011). Positive and negative sera were provided in the kit. Briefly, the *M. bovis* specific antigen was coated on a 96-well ELISA plate. After incubation of the diluted serum sample in the test well and subsequent washing, a conjugate was added. The plate was washed again, and then a chromogenic enzyme substrate was added. The optical density (OD) at 450 nm was read using a photometer (BIO-RAD, Hercules, California, USA).

Statistical analysis

Differences in seroprevalence of *M. bovis* infection among dairy cattle of different age groups and numbers of pregnancies were analyzed using a Chi square test in SPSS for Windows (Release 18.0 standard version, SPSS Inc., Chicago, Illinois). The differences were considered statistically significant when $P < 0.05$.

RESULTS

A total of 370 serum samples from dairy cattle in Guangzhou, Southern China were examined by ELISA for *M. bovis* antibodies. Twenty-two (22) of 370 (5.95%) examined dairy cattle were seropositive for *M. bovis* infection by ELISA (Table 1). Different levels of seropositivity were

Table 2. Seroprevalence of *M. bovis* in dairy cattle of different ages in Guangzhou, subtropical southern China.

Age (year)	Number examined	Number positive	Prevalence (%)
1 ≤ yr < 2	38	4	10.5
2 ≤ yr < 3	50	4	8.0
3 ≤ yr < 4	52	5	9.61
4 ≤ yr < 5	25	2	8.0
5 ≤ yr < 6	76	2	2.63
6 ≤ yr < 7	48	1	2.08
7 ≤ yr < 8	55	3	5.45
≥ 8	26	1	3.85
Total	370	22	5.95

Table 3. Seroprevalence of *M. bovis* in dairy cattle with different numbers of pregnancies in Guangzhou, subtropical southern China.

Number of pregnancy	Number examined	Number positive	Prevalence (%)
0	54	5	9.26
1	70	6	8.57
2	58	5	8.62
3	40	2	5.0
4	59	1	1.69
5	33	1	3.03
6	42	2	4.76
≥ 7	14	0	0
Total	370	22	5.95

detected among the 5 different farms, namely 2.70, 6.85, 15.0, 3.75 and 3.61% of the examined samples from farms A, B, C, D and E were *M. bovis* antibody-positive, respectively (Table 1).

The ages of the examined dairy cattle ranged between 1 and 8 years, seroprevalence varied in different age groups, ranging from 2.08 to 10.5% (Table 2). One-year-old dairy cattle had the highest seroprevalence of 10.5% (4/38), followed by 3-year-old dairy cattle (9.61%, 5/52) (Table 2).

The numbers of parturition of dairy cattle ranged between 0 and 7 pregnancies, seroprevalence varied in dairy cattle with different numbers of pregnancies, ranging from 0 to 9.26% (Table 3). The highest seroprevalence was found in dairy cattle with 0 pregnancy (9.26%), followed by dairy cattle having 2 pregnancies (8.62%), although there were no statistically significant differences among different groups ($P > 0.05$). No *M. bovis* antibodies were detected in dairy cattle with 7 pregnancies.

DISCUSSION

M. bovis is a highly contagious pathogen which can infect all ages of cattle and cause serious diseases, and it may

be spread from dairy cattle to cattle by the hands of milkers and fomites, such as the milk claw, in the milking parlor (Kauf et al., 2007). *M. bovis* has been highly adapted to cattle but occasionally it was isolated from buffalos, small ruminants, chickens and even humans (Nicholas and Ayling, 2003; Ongor et al., 2008). Because no effective antibiotics and vaccine are commercially available for the treatment or prevention of diseases caused by *M. bovis*, the present measures for controlling *M. bovis* are mainly to limit infection and the culling of infected animals (Pinnow et al., 2001; Kauf et al., 2007; Maunsell et al., 2011).

In the present survey, *M. bovis* antibodies were detected in 22 (5.95%) of 370 dairy cattle by ELISA (Table 1). The overall seroprevalence was lower than that reported in Chongqing, Hubei and Guangxi Zhuang Autonomous Region in China (Shi et al., 2008; Ran et al., 2010; Fu et al., 2011), and some other countries or regions such as Canton du Jura, Ireland and Pennsylvania (Burnens et al., 1999; Byrne et al., 2001; Soehnlén et al., 2012), but higher than that documented in France (Arcangioli et al., 2011). These differences may due to different diagnostic methods used, cattle of different sources and surveyed, and samples from different regions.

As shown in Table 2, the seroprevalence varied in different age groups (2.08 to 10.5%), with dairy cattle of 1 year old having the highest seroprevalence of 10.5%, followed by dairy cattle of 3 years old (9.61%). However, there were no statistically significant differences between different age groups ($P>0.05$). The varied seroprevalence in different age groups suggests the possibility of horizontal transmission in the investigated herds. The highest *M. bovis* seroprevalence in dairy cattle of 1 year old may be due to ingestion of infected milk which is an important means of *M. bovis* transmission. Higher *M. bovis* seroprevalence in dairy cattle of 3 years old may increase the risk of milk contamination with *M. bovis*, because 3 to 4 years old dairy cattle are the main producer of milk.

The association between *M. bovis* seroprevalence and numbers of pregnancies was also analyzed in the present study (Table 3), and varied *M. bovis* seroprevalence was detected. The seroprevalence in dairy cattle without births was the highest (9.26%), followed by dairy cattle with 2 pregnancies, but the differences were not statistically significant ($P>0.05$).

Conclusion

The results of the present survey indicated that *M. bovis* infection is prevalent in dairy cattle in Guangzhou, subtropical southern China, which may represent one of the causes of bovine abortion, pneumonia and arthritis. Therefore, integrated strategies and measures should be performed to control and prevent *M. bovis* infection and disease outbreak in dairy cattle in the study region.

ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS

Project support was provided, in part, by the Program for Outstanding Scientists in Agricultural Research, the Open Funds of the State Key Laboratory of Veterinary Etiological Biology, Lanzhou Veterinary Research Institute, Chinese Academy of Agricultural Sciences (Grant Nos. SKLVEB2011KFKT004, SKLVEB2011KFKT011, SKLVEB2010KFKT009, SKLVEB2009KFKT008 and SKLVEB2011KFKT010), the China Postdoctoral Foundation (201104363 and 20090460064) and the Yunnan Provincial Program for Introducing High-level Scientists (Grant No. 2009C1125). Prof Shou-Jun Li of College of Veterinary Medicine, South China Agricultural University is thanked for assistance in collection of serum samples.

REFERENCES

Arcangioli MA, Chazel M, Sellal E, Botrel MA, Bezille P, Poumarat F, Calavas D, Le Grand D (2011). Prevalence of *Mycoplasma bovis* udder infection in dairy cattle: preliminary field investigation in southeast France. *New Zealand Vet. J.*, 59: 75-78.

- Ball HJ, Nicholas RA (2010). *Mycoplasma bovis*-associated disease: here, there and everywhere. *Vet. J.*, 186: 280-281.
- Burnens AP, Bonnemain P, Bruderer U, Schalch L, Audigé L, Le Grand D, Poumarat F, Nicolet J (1999). The seroprevalence of *Mycoplasma bovis* in lactating cows in Switzerland, particularly in the republic and canton of Jura. *Schweiz Arch Tierheilkd*, 141: 455-460.
- Byrne WJ, McCormack R, Brice N, Egan J, Markey B, Ball HJ (2001). Isolation of *Mycoplasma bovis* from bovine clinical samples in the Republic of Ireland. *Vet. Record*, 148: 331-333.
- Caswell JL, Archambault M (2008). *Mycoplasma bovis* pneumonia in cattle. *Anim. Health Res. Rev.*, 8: 161-186.
- Fu JH, Liu QY, Xu MJ, Shi DS, He XH, Pan Y, Guo RB, Gao Q, Yi SX, Si HS, Zhu XQ (2011). Seroprevalence of *Mycoplasma bovis* infection in dairy cows in subtropical southern China. *Afr. J. Biotechnol.*, 10: 11313-11316.
- Kauf AC, Rosenbusch RF, Paape MJ, Bannerman DD (2007). Innate immune response to intramammary *Mycoplasma bovis* infection. *J. Dairy Sci.*, 90: 3336-3348.
- Maunsell FP, Donovan GA, Risco C, Brown MB (2009). Field evaluation of a *Mycoplasma bovis* bacterin in young dairy calves. *Vaccine*, 27: 2781-2788.
- Maunsell FP, Woolums AR, Francoz D, Rosenbusch RF, Step DL, Wilson DJ, Janzen ED (2011). *Mycoplasma bovis* infections in cattle. *J. Vet. Internal Med.*, 25: 772-783.
- Nicholas RA, Ayling RD (2003). *Mycoplasma bovis*: disease, diagnosis, and control. *Res. Vet. Sci.*, 74: 105-112.
- Ongor H, Kalin R, Karahan M, Cetinkaya B, McAuliffe L, Nicholas RA (2008). Isolation of *Mycoplasma bovis* from broiler chickens in Turkey. *Avian Pathol.*, 37: 587-588.
- Pinnow CC, Butler JA, Sachse K, Hotzel H, Timms LL, Rosenbusch RF (2001). Detection of *Mycoplasma bovis* in preservative-treated field milk samples. *J. Dairy Sci.*, 84: 1640-1645.
- Punyapornwithaya V, Fox LK, Hancock DD, Gay JM, Alldredge JR (2010). Association between an outbreak strain causing *Mycoplasma bovis* mastitis and its asymptomatic carriage in the herd: a case study from Idaho, USA. *Preventive Vet. Med.*, 93: 66-70.
- Punyapornwithaya V, Fox LK, Hancock DD, Gay JM, Wenz JR, Alldredge JR (2011). Incidence and transmission of *Mycoplasma bovis* mastitis in Holstein dairy cows in a hospital pen: A case study. *Preventive Vet. Medicine*, 98: 74-78.
- Ran ZG, Xie JH, Luo L, Yang ZL, Ling HQ, Su CZ, Xiong ZL, Fan WX, Mi ZY (2010). Etiological diagnosis of *Mycoplasma bovis* pneumonia and arthritis in some provinces in China. *Chinese J. Preventive Vet. Med.*, 32: 40-43. (In Chinese)
- Rérat M, Albin S, Jaquier V, Hüsey D (2012). Bovine respiratory disease: Efficacy of different prophylactic treatments in veal calves and antimicrobial resistance of isolated Pasteurellaceae. *Preventive Vet. Med.*, 103: 265-273.
- Shi L, Gong R, Yin ZY, Zhou Y, Pei J, Hu ZB, Wang LX, Hu CM, Liu T, Chen YY, Liao JH, Zhao JL, Chen HC, Guo AZ (2008). Diagnosis of cattle infectious *Mycoplasma bovis* pneumonia. *J. Huazhong Agric. Univ.*, 27: 629-633. (In Chinese)
- Soehnlen MK, Aydin A, Murthy KS, Lengerich EJ, Hattel AL, Houser BA, Fenton GD, Lysczek HR, Burns CM, Townsend AM, Brooks JW, Wolfgang DR, Jayarao BM (2012). Epidemiology of *Mycoplasma bovis* in Pennsylvania veal calves. *J. Dairy Sci.*, 95: 247-254.
- Soehnlen MK, Kariyawasam S, Lumadue JA, Pierre TA, Wolfgang DR, Jayarao BM (2011). Molecular epidemiological analysis of *Mycoplasma bovis* isolates from the Pennsylvania Animal Diagnostic Laboratory showing genetic diversity. *J. Dairy Sci.*, 94: 1893-1899.
- Tenk M, Bálint A, Stipkovits L, Biró J, Dencso L (2006). Detection of *Mycoplasma bovis* with an improved PCR assay. *Acta veterinaria Hungarica*, 54: 427-435.
- Tenk M, Stipkovits L, Hufnagel L (2004). Examination of the role of *Mycoplasma bovis* in bovine pneumonia and a mathematical model for its evaluation. *Acta Veterinaria Hungarica*, 52: 445-456.