

Review paper

# Review on Beef Meat Handling, Quality and Microbial Safety in Ethiopia

<sup>1,2</sup>Biadge Kefale, <sup>1,3</sup>Neela Satheesh and <sup>1</sup>Solomon Workenh Fanta

<sup>1</sup>Faculty of Chemical and Food Engineering, Bahir Dar Institute of Technology, Bahir Dar University

<sup>2</sup>Ethiopian Institute of Agricultural Research, Holeta Agricultural Centre, PO, Box 036, Addis Ababa, Ethiopia

<sup>3</sup>Department of Food, Nutrition and Agriculture, Faculty of Agriculture, Sri Sri University, Cuttack, Odisha, India

Accepted 22<sup>nd</sup> March, 2022.

Ethiopia had largest livestock populations in Africa and tenth in the world. It contributes about 45% to the agricultural GDP, supporting the livelihoods of 70 % of the population, 18.7% to the national GDP and 16–19% to the total foreign currency earning of the country. The country had high cattle resources potential, their productivity and quality earned of this resource is low. Attention is required to overcome the constraints that affecting beef eating quality attributes, like Pre and post- handling of animals and beef especially improvement of beef meat quality and controlling the safety concerns from food borne pathogens like *E.coli*, *salmonella*, *Fecal coliform* and *staphylococci*, Aflatoxin, molds and parasitic worms (*C.bovis*) is vital. Slaughtering techniques ,Cold chain management ,Handling of slaughter cattle in the abattoir and personal hygiene, environmental sanitation, Knowledge on food handling ,educational status of the butcher shops workers among the predominant factors of contamination of the beef. For future work on Meat aflatoxin, meat veterinary drug residue should be the focused area. Therefore, implementations of GMP and HACCP principles as strategy should be adapted to control pathogenic microbes.

**Key words:** Meat, quality, safety and pathogen

## INTRODUCTION

Livestock is an integral part of agriculture, accounting for about 45 percent to the total value of agricultural production and supporting the livelihoods of a large share of the population. The national herd comprises 57 million cattle, 30 million sheep and 23 million goats, and 57 million chicken, as well as camels, equines and a small number of pigs. Most animals are raised in the highlands, where also majority of the Ethiopians lives. Total production of milk, meat and eggs amounts to 5.6 billion liters, 1.1 million tones and 419 million eggs per year. Beyond providing foods and other goods and services to the population, the livestock sector is a major contributor to export earnings, mainly through the export of live cattle and small ruminants. It is estimated that Ethiopian livestock contribute about 10 percent to total export earnings, of

which 69 percent accounted for by live animal exports but live animals sold illegally to Djibouti, Kenya and Sudan. The total supply of animal source foods in the country, including of net trade, translates in a per capital consumption of 9 kg meat, 56.2 liters of milk and about 4 eggs per year (FAO ,2019).

It contributes about 45% to the agricultural GDP, supporting the livelihoods of 70 % of the population, 18.7% to the national GDP and 16–19% to the total foreign exchange earning of the country (Ethiopia Ministry of Agriculture., 2020). Meat is the most valuable livestock product and for many people serves as their first choice from animal protein which provides all the essential amino acids and various micronutrients in proper proportion to the human beings. This includes all processed or manufactured products prepared from animal tissues (A. Sebsibe et al. 2007). Meat production and consumption has important in the Ethiopian economy. The Ethiopian meat export volume increased from 7,916 tons in 1999/2000 to 19,104.7 in 2018/2019. The Country's export

\*Corresponding Author's Email: [biadgekefale@yahoo.com](mailto:biadgekefale@yahoo.com)

performance reached its peak in 2016/17 by exporting 19,779.20 tons of meat. In the same period the meat export (chilled shoats, beef carcass and offal) value has picked up from 1.7 million USD to 92.65 million USD ((Ethiopian meat and dairy industry development institute., 2017).

Because of low productivity and poor management systems practiced however, the average live weight of cattle is estimated at 250 kg; with 14% off take rates and 110 kg carcass weight at 44% dressing percentage (USAID, 2013). The off take rates for sheep is 40% that of goats 27% with 10 kg and 8 kg of carcass weight, respectively (Goat and Sheep Productivity Improvement Program , 2011). The major and formal meat export destination from Ethiopian export abattoirs for chilled small ruminant meat is limited to United Arab Emirates and Kingdom of Saudi Arabia with the order of 60% and 38% share (Goat and Sheep Productivity Improvement Program, 2011). The consumption of meat and meat products has a very tidy association with religious beliefs, and are influenced by religions. The main religions of Ethiopia have their own peculiar doctrines of setting the feeding habits and customs of their followers. This influence meat products consumption through dictating the source animals that should be used or not be used for food and scheduling the days of the years in periodical permeation and restriction on consumptions which in turn influences the pattern of meat consumption in the country. In Ethiopia, a

cow or an ox is commonly butchered for the sole purpose of selling within the community. In special occasions, people have a cultural ceremony of slaughtering cow or ox and sharing among the group which is called *Kircha*, which is a very common option of the people in rural area where access of meat is challenging frequently (Seleshe, Jo, and Lee , 2014).

**Trends of meat production and Export in Ethiopia**

According to CSA (2017) data the breed composition of cows and chicken, the only livestock types for which data is available on breed type. The data on breed type indicate that indigenous or local breed cows and chicken are dominant. Foreign cow breeds are almost nonexistent among smallholder farmers’ herds, while hybrid cows represented only 1 and 2 percent of the total number of cows in 2004 and 2015, respectively. However, it is to be noted that artificial insemination is increasingly being adopted in the livestock sub sector of Ethiopia. Figure.1 shows the evolution in the production, distribution, insemination, pregnancy, and calves born using artificial insemination.

The number of calves born using artificial insemination was 11 times higher in 2016 than in 2005. However, only 230,000 calves were born through artificial insemination in 2016, still a small number compared to the total cattle population (Bachewe et al. 2018).




Figure 1: Artificial insemination of cows, annual doses and outcomes. Source (IFPRI,2018)

In Africa annual meat production from ruminants was 7.5 million tones, of which 65% was from cattle, 32% from small ruminants and 3% from camelids (Eshetie., 2018). African continent accounts for about 4% of the world

production of animal products and its share in world trade in animal products is less than 1% and its meat consumption (kcal/capita/day) between 1961-2007.




Figure.2: Meat consumption (kcal/capita/day) for some selected African countries. Source: (Food and Agricultural Organization Statistics, 2015)

Uganda, Burundi and SSA the per capital calorie consumption from meat is in the increasing trend where as for Ethiopia there is a sharp decline. For the remaining countries listed, the per capital kcal from meat consumption is almost constant across the years. Citizens from developed countries consumed a consistent level of 77 kg of meat per capital annually, while developing countries struggled to maintain a diet with only 25 kg of meat per capital annum. The fast growth of Ethiopian economy and population, the domestic demand for meat is

increasing; however, the country is among the lowest per capital meat consumption in the world which is 8 kg, of which about 5.3 kg comes from beef (Food and Agricultural Organization Statistics, 2015). Ethiopia is the tenth largest livestock population in the world, the production of meat is still low and contributed to about 0.2 percent of the world total meat production, of which most is sheep and goat meat. The total meat production in Ethiopia increase from 578,240 tons in 2004 to 749,430 tons in year 2014 and decreased to 596,765 tons in year 2017 (Figure 2).




Figure 3: Meat production trends by type in Ethiopia (in tons). Source : (ILRI.,2004)




Figure.4: Meat and and other commodity export from 2002-2013 in Ethiopia. Source: (CSA.,2016).

**Food borne illness and Microbial contaminants of fresh meat**

Most cases of food borne illness are a result of pathogens in food. Pathogens are microorganisms that can cause

illness in humans. The pathogens that cause food borne illness do not necessarily cause undesirable changes in food. Many times, pathogens cause a food to be unsafe to eat before there are any visible signs of spoilage (Adams and Moss, 2000). Pathogens can cause illness in one of

three ways: intoxication, infection or toxic infection (Firew Tafesse et al., 2010). More than 40 food borne microbial pathogens are known to cause human illness, including bacteria, parasite, viruses, fungi and their toxins. Several pathogens were recognized only recently as a cause of food borne illness. Some food borne pathogens have not yet been scientifically identified (Firew Tafesse et al., 2010).

Meat is any solid food and meat has contributed substantial role in the human diet. Though denied by some on moral or religious grounds, meat eating still remains widely popular. This is due to the fact that it has desirable texture and flavor characteristics; beside meat protein does also have a high biological value (Zvaifler et al. 2000). Meat from cattle, pigs, sheep, goats and poultry are among animals of principal importance in economic. Meats are the most perishable of all major foods. Meat contains an abundance of all nutrient required for the growth of bacteria, yeast and molds and an adequate quantity of these constituents exist in fresh meats (Tafesse et al. 2014).

In general, the biota is reflective of the slaughtering and processing environments with bacteria being predominant.

The presence of the more varied biota on non spoiled meats, then, may be taken to represent the organisms that exist in the original environment of the product in question or contaminants picked up during processing, handling, packaging, and storage. When microbial numbers of fresh meat reach around  $10^7$ cfu  $cm^{-2}$ , it produce off odors. This is signal of spoilage of fresh meat. At this point it is believed that the micro organisms switch from the diminishing levels of glucose in the meat to amino acids a substrate for growth.

In meat with lower levels of residual glucose this stage is reached earlier ( $10^6$  cfu / $cm^2$ ) and this accounts for the earlier onset of spoilage in high pH meat. At final spoilage stage form of a visible slime surface on the meat is one sign.

This stage is happened when microbial numbers reach levels of around  $10^8$ cfu  $cm^{-2}$  (Zvaifler et al. 2000).

Diarrhoeal diseases are the most important contributor to the overall burden of foodborne disease in African region followed by helminths and invasive bacteria. Chemical hazards and toxins are relatively un important, with the burden more or less equally shared between aflatoxins (Figure. 5 ) (Faso and Grace. 2018).




Figure 5: Food borne disease illnesses in sub-Saharan Africa. Source: (Faso and Grace. 2018)

Pathogens isolated from foods in seven African countries showed that *E. coli*, *Salmonella*, *S. aureus* and *L. monocytogenes* were the major pathogens in foods and worryingly bacterial contamination of ready-to-eat foods was as high as the raw foods. Prevalence was highest in Uganda followed by Nigeria (then Sudan, Benin, Kenya,

Ghana and Botswana in declining order) shown in table.1 (Paudyal et al., 2017). Overall, animal source foods are the most important sources of food borne disease followed by produce (fresh fruit and vegetables) (Grace, 2015) shown in figure.6





Figure 6: Foodborne disease burden attributable to different food groups in Africa Region. Source: (Grace, 2015)

**Table.1:** Pathogens identified in different types of food in seven African countries. Source: (Paudyal et al., 2017).

Pathogen	Meat	milk	fish	vegs and fruits	Ready to eat
<i>Escherichia coli</i> %	49	40	38	60	36
<i>Staphylococcus aureus</i> %	49	35	31	30	21
<i>Enterobacteriaceaea</i> %	31	25	38	40	39
<i>Salmonella</i> %	47	10	38	30	18
<i>Bacillus spp.</i> %	18	10	8	20	18
<i>Listeria monocytogenes</i> %	13	15	8	40	4
Average %	34	23	27	37	23

From the report Faso and Grace. (2018) from the European Union on export rejections between January 2007 to October 2017 (Figure.7). Over this period, Nigerian food accounted for 373 alerts, Ethiopian for 53 reports and

Burkina Faso for only 7. In Ethiopia and Nigeria, the most commonly detected hazards found aflatoxins and in Burkina Faso is *Salmonella*.




**Figure 7:** Hazards in food safety notifications for exports from Ethiopia to the European Union in the year 2007-2017. Source: (Faso and Grace. 2018)

**Pre slaughter transport and handling, Meat hygiene and eating culture in Ethiopia**

Slaughtering takes place at official slaughterhouses

throughout the country in most animals for Addis Ababa residents are slaughtered at the Addis Ababa Abattoirs Enterprise. The number of slaughtering animal shown in figure. 8 (Mahmud, 2000).



**Figure 8 :** Animals Slaughtered Annually in Ethiopia. Source :(ILRI., 2004)

It is clearly best practice to minimize pre-slaughter stress. Thus cattle should be loaded on the farm, transported, unloaded at the abattoir and delivered to the point of stun with the minimum of stress and risk of physical damage to the animals. In the last few years there have been papers showing that there is an effect of animal temperament on eating quality (Dagne and ameha, 2017) and animals showing nervous behavior have higher shear force than calm or simply restless animals (Bongiorni et al., 2016). Mixing can also be associated with tougher meat (Bass et al., 2010). Navajas et al., (2010) indicated that, if cattle put

under stress in the period between the farm and abattoir, they may produce dark, firm, dry (DFD) beef. This is because muscle glycogen stores are mobilized by stress hormones, leaving a low concentration of glycogen in muscle at slaughter and a correspondingly low fall in muscle pH post mortem. Muscle pH in longissimus 48hr after slaughter (ultimate pH) is typically 5.5 in normal cattle. The problem of dark, firm, and dry beef is much more common in bulls than in steers or heifers. As ultimate pH increases, the desirable flavors associated with cooked beef tend to decline (Wood et al., 2004).



Figure 9: Slaughtering process and cultural partition (Kircha) Ethiopia. Source: (ILRI ,2004) .




Figure 10: Modern slaughtering process Source:(Grönvall. 2013)

In holidays, people have a cultural ceremony for slaughtering cows or oxen sharing among the group called kircha, which is a very common option of the rural areas where access of meat is challenging. Kircha is a form of people's organization and sharing meat among themselves butchering live animal and sharing the meat in group. Kircha is considered by Ethiopians as their social capital. The style is also common in some of Ethiopia towns' people by which the elders especially enjoy being involved in the activity. On holidays, neighbors join together into kircha to buy a large ox and equally divide it then draw lots to decide which pile they get. It is cheaper than going to

the butcher (Seleshe, Jo, and Lee., 2014). According to (kifleyesus ., 2007) the meat is divided on the basis of equal portions comprising a package of every internal organ, muscle meat and bones of the slaughtered animal. Meat safety from slaughter to resale, there is no formal classification of carcass quality. The butcheries stored their meat in a room temperature, open air environment display (ILRI , 2004).

Most customers bought raw meat to prepare and consume within their home. At the larger butcheries, however, many frequently sit at makeshift tables and devour the traditional meal of raw meat or kitfo.



Figure.11: Raw meat eating culture. Source :(ILRI.,2004)

Gutema et al. (2021) reported the hygienic practices assessed in cattle slaughterhouses (28 employees) and retail shops (127 employees) through face to face interviews and direct personal observations in Bishoftu. At the slaughter houses, stunning, de-hiding and evisceration in vertical position, carcass washing and separate storage of offal the identified good practices. Lack of hot water baths, absence of a chilling rooms, infrequent hand washing, insufficiently trained staff and irregular medical checkup were practices lead to unhygienic handling of carcasses. About 40% of slaughter houses and 85.8% of retail shops employees did not receive training on hygienic handlings of meat and all employees at the slaughterhouses and 98% of the respondents at retail shops confirmed having had a medical checkup (Niyonzima et al. 2015).

At the retail shops, cleaning equipment using soap and hot water (81%), storing unsold meat in refrigerators (92%), concrete floors and white painted walls and ceilings were good practices. But lack of a cold chain, wrapping meat with plastic bags and newspapers, using a plastic or wooden cutting board (57%), infrequent washing of equipment and floors, and inadequately trained employees practiced that could result in unhygienic handling of beef.

Previous studies also reported that a considerable proportion of meat processing employees (Haileselassie et al. 2013) and meat retail shops employees did not receive basic training on hygienic handling of meat. This is contrary to the basic requirements for working in the food industry. Employees working in food establishments such as slaughterhouses and retail shops should be trained on food safety issues (Hogan, Kelly, and Sun., 2005). In general the study report identified unhygienic practices both at the slaughterhouses and retail shops that can predispose the public to meat borne infections, which could be improved through training and implementation of quality control systems.

Seifu and Sentayhu (2017) reported by assessment of human resource capabilities, sanitation of slaughter houses and processing materials. Observation survey undertaken at all slaughter houses and meat stalls while interview data collected from 54 slaughter house butchers. At Bure, Debremarkos, Dejen and Fenoteselam respectively from April to August 2017. According to the survey slaughter house workers not professionally trained and certified. Most of them are not used aprons, hair cover and gumboots and all had no overall cloth. The entire abattoirs had no clear division for slaughtering process,

which undertaken simply on the ground and hanging were take place on simply constructed wood. Relatively Debremarkos (46.15%) abattoir had better sanitary standard and followed with Fenoteselam (23.08%), Bure (15.38%) and Dejen (7.69%).

This finding likewise with the research undertaken on assessment of hygiene practices used by small butchers and slaughter slabs in Juba town South Sudan (Kyayesimira, Bunny, and Andama 1962) and the assessment on hygienic conditions and sanitation practices of slaughterhouses of Jagdalpur city in India (Zerabruk et al. 2019). In addition according to (Zerabruk et al. 2019). Most of the butchers dose not taken professional training and had not used apron and hair cover. However personnel must be equipped with the materials and equipment necessary to perform tasks. It has important

role in the production of safe foods (Poffe et al. 1981).

### Beef eating Quality Standard in Ethiopia

Meat quality refers to the compositional quality and the palatability of meat. The major parameters considered in the assessment of meat quality are appearance, juiciness, tenderness, and flavor (Lawrie and Ledward, 2006). Tenderness, flavor and juiciness are the important palatability characteristics to evaluating eating quality of beef. Countries have established the system that best allows consistency of product for their consumers. Such standards have not been developed fully in Ethiopia. The first and foremost step in this direction is to have data on various parameters of quality of meat, which is lacking in Ethiopia (Kumar and Tadesse, Gebrehiwot, 2011).

**Table2:** Chemical requirements for corned beef

Parameter	Requirement
1.plate count	$2 \times 10^{-5}$
2.coliform	Nil
3. <i>Clostridium perfringens</i>	Nil
4. <i>Staphylococcus</i>	Nil
5. <i>Salmonellae</i>	Nil

**Table3:** Microbiological requirements for corned beef

Parameter	Requirement
1. Total protein, % by mass min	21
2. Ash, % by mass max.	3
3. Sodium nitrite, mg/kg	50
4 .Lead ,as (Pb) mg/kg	1
5. Arsenic ,(As) mg/kg	1
6 .Tin ,as (Sn) mg/kg	200

Source: Ethiopian Standard (ES) 1112:2005

### Physicochemical Quality of beef meat in Ethiopia

The Eating Quality Attributes of beef meat are Tenderness, Juiciness and Flavor. Tenderness is one of the most important meat palatability attributes and consumers are willing to pay more for

beef which is tender, Juiciness refers to the quantity of apparent juice that comes from meat during chewing, Flavor represents the interaction between aromatic compounds within the nasal cavity and chemical compounds that contact the tongue during eating.

According to Dagne et al. (2021) study report on the eating qualities of beef meat collected from Arsi, Borana, and Harar Cattle breeds using a total of 39 samples in Ethiopia. The tenderness with beef from Harar and Boran bulls very tender (18.5 - 20.34) respectively while beef from Arsi bulls intermediate in tenderness (40.6). Beef from Harar and Boran breeds very tender. Flavor from Harar and Boran breeds moderately liked while flavor from Arsi liked slightly. Beef from Boran breed juicier than beef from Harar

and Arsi breed. According to this study in agreement with the present study high sensory beef tenderness (5.43 ) reported in South Africa (Gebrehiwot, Negesse, and Abebe, 2017). The normal ranges for beef water holding capacity research has reported ranges 37.0 – 72.7 % considered as normal (Muchenje et al. 2009). The mean lightness coordinate of the three breeds lied between 30 and 35 while the mean redness and yellowness lied between 10 and 15. The result of the present finding agreed with other reports who did not found any variation in color values between bulls and steers of different breeds (Moran et al. 2017).

According to Gebrehiwot et al. (2018) report a total of 80 samples used for the study from 80 randomly selected butcher shops in mekele sampled in 6 months study period of time, from May to October, 2017. In the study, it found that 65% of the animals slaughtered old whereas 35% young agrees with the report of Legese et al. (2008). That 64.4% of the animals slaughtered at Mekelle abattoir old in age. The result of filter paper press of the study with

the mean value of 49.4% of dark colored meat that agreed with the reports of Gunenc (2007) that had shown with mean value of 48.75% Miller (2007) also reported similar findings of dark colored meat with higher dark form dried meat (DFD). These indicated that the low water holding capacity of the meat could cost butchers house significantly which also supported by Huff-Lonergan (2005). The pH at 3 hour post slaughter recorded 6.1 to 6.6. This is comparable with the work of Lundesjö Ahnström et al. (2012) who recorded 6.1 to 6.37. The age of the slaughtered animals had significant association with the pH measured at 24 h post slaughter, drip loss and filter paper press measurements. The pre-slaughter rest period had strong effect on pH at 3 h post-slaughter. The study concluded that water holding capacity and pH as quality indicators of meat are influenced by age and pre-slaughter rest of the slaughtered animals.

According to Birmaduma (2019) study report evaluated eating qualities of beef produced at public abattoirs from Arsi, Bale and Harar cattle breeds. The samples collected from the longissimus dorsi region within 45 min after slaughters. The eating quality using panel testing. Mean values of 33.12 N, 7.12, 7.2 and 7.24 determined in instrumental tenderness, sensory tenderness, juiciness, and flavor of beef respectively. The instrumental tenderness had negative medium relationship with sensory attributes conducted for tenderness and juiciness, but positive with flavor. From the study, it concluded that quality of beef produced in study areas relatively tender which internationally competent but becomes tough as cattle gets older. It is recommended that strategy should be developed to encourage premium payment for young cattle marketing that is not exposed to draft service and creating awareness among stakeholders on quality beef production. As Ethiopia is planning to in the beef market in the Middle East Countries, it is highly needed to promote Ethiopian beef at international markets.

According to Dagne et al. (2021) report the study determined proximate composition and profile of fatty acid of beef from Arsi, Borana and Harar Cattle breeds in Ethiopia. A total of 39 bulls with three age categories considered for the study. The bulls purchased from mixed crop livestock system (Arsi and Harar cattle breeds) and Ranch (Borana cattle breed). Longissimus dorsi muscle used to evaluate proximate composition and profile of fatty acid. The result of the study indicated that mean of percentage of dry matter, ash, crude fat and crude protein were ranging 22.43 - 24.26, 0.32 - 1.28, 4.32 - 7.88, 17.21 - 22.76, respectively. The concentration of polyunsaturated fatty acid (PUFA) had higher than saturated fatty acid (SFA) in the three breeds studied across all age categories. Ratio of n-6: n-3 in the muscle of bulls under the study had ranged from 2.10 to 2.57.

A study had reported the presence of significant difference in protein, fat, ash and moisture content of beef across the breeds (Bartoň et al. 2007). Besides, another

study had reported difference in fatty acid compositions between breeds (Hwang and Joo et al., 2017). The crude fat content of beef of all the breeds under the study can be considered as a good contributor to palatability of the beef as minimum of 3% fat is suggested to ensure acceptable palatability of beef (Ackland and Stewart., 2013). From the study it concluded that Arsi, Boran and Harar bulls under the three age categories contained more than 3% minimum crude. The higher concentration of PUFA over SFA in all breeds across age categories indicated that the meat from these cattle breeds has less risk hazard to human health. However, a strategy needs to be developed to increase the ratio of n-6: n-3 to the nutritional Recommendations by the World Health Organization which is 4:1 to 5:1.

According to Kumar and Kassaye (2010) report on physical quality of 83 samples of beef produced and marketed in some parts of Tigray region of Ethiopia evaluated. The color, marbling, pH, bleeding status within permissible limits in 35 (42.16%), 47(56.63%), 51 (61.44%), 13(15.66%) samples, respectively. Based on these parameters, a high percentage of samples (varying from 38.56%–84.34%) had unsatisfactory quality. Meat purchasing decisions are influenced by color more than any other quality factor because consumers take discoloration as an indicator of freshness and wholesomeness. Around 15% of retail beef is discounted in price due to surface discoloration which corresponds to annual loss of \$ 1 billion (Adzitey., 2011). Obtaining a stable red color of beef is a challenge since the color is influenced by a number of internal and external factors such as levels of oxygen (Stahlke et al. 2019), pre-slaughter stress, pH, storage of meat and the amount of the light to which the meat is exposed. Aerobic bacteria can also cause discoloration due to production of metabolic byproducts (Addis 2015).


Fresh beef color can be achieved through improved sanitation and antimicrobial treatment, temperature control, packaging, diet modification, improved breeding and handling of live animals. Marbling has a significant influence on consumers' perception of beef quality (Schnettler M et al. 2008). The studies suggest that beef from the carcasses graded at least select beef grade are likely to be acceptable in eating quality (Anonymous, 2009). Imperfect bleeding affects the quality of meat as more blood in the meat makes it more prone to microbial multiplication which ultimately reduces the quality of meat. Weldegebrail et al. (2018) study report conducted at Werer agricultural research center from May 2014 to April 2015 with the objectives of evaluating different feed supplementation options for two years old Afar bulls to attain export market weight and investigating duration required for the purpose. A total of twenty one bulls with an average initial weight of 151.2 kg used for the experiment. Three different dietary feed ratio formulated used. Generally the feeding trial indicated that supplementation of 20% molasses, 35% wheat bran and 45% linseed cake

after grazing to two years of Afar bulls enabled them to attain market weight of above 300 kg in 254 days.

Mummed and Webb. (2014) reported conformation and fat grades of carcasses in the wet and dry season studied. Conformation of fat grade higher in the dry season (48.34%) with the average carcass weight of  $99.38 \pm 0.70$  kg compared to the wet season (21.78%) with the average carcass weight of  $138.98 \pm 1.01$  kg observed in the wet season. The explanation made for the difference in carcass weight between the wet and dry seasons hold true for the difference in conformation and fat grade between seasons. The finding in the study further indicated the needs to develop strategy of supplementing cattle in the dry season to produce higher yield and quality carcasses.

According to Agmas and Adugna (2018) study report the prevalence and its public health risk of antimicrobial residue in fresh beef meat at Bahir Dar and Debre Tabor towns, Northwest Ethiopia. The result of the study showed that beef farms 42 (97.67%) using tetracycline

(oxytetracycline). In addition to  $\beta$ -lactams, (pinstripe) 21 (48.84%), and sulfonamides drugs including sulfadimidine and diminazene aceturate each 4 (9.30%). The study had similar high result with studies that conducted on antimicrobial residue test on the beef meat samples in central parts of Ethiopia; Addis Ababa, Debre Zeit and Nazareth slaughterhouses, (93.8%), (37.5%) and (82.1%) positive for oxytetracycline (Myllyniemi et al. 2000) and antimicrobial residue records in beef meat such as Nigeria 54.44%, Kenya 45.6%, Ghana 30.8%, and Sudan 17.33% (Bashir et al. 2011). No one beef farm has respected drug withdrawal period and lack of awareness about antimicrobial side effects in 37 (86.05%) farms. Among 250 beef cattle slaughtered, antimicrobial residue positivity were 191 (76.4%) giving a 95% confidence interval of 71.10-81.70% origin of beef farm. In general the Prevalence of antimicrobial residue in beef meat in Bahir Dar and Debre Tabor towns had high and also the drug residue detected higher than the standard level



**Figure 7:** Presence of antimicrobial residues in different tissues of beef meat. Source:(AgmasandAdugna.2018)

According to Bedada and Zewde. (2012) report a cross sectional study conducted from October 2006 to May 2007 to estimate the proportion of tetracycline residue levels in beef at Addis Ababa, Debre Zeit and Nazareth slaughterhouses in central Ethiopia. From 384 muscle and kidney samples randomly collected from slaughtered cattle in the respective slaughter houses. The samples qualitatively screened for tetracycline residues by thin layer chromatography (TLC) and using high performance liquid chromatography (HPLC). Out of the total 384 samples that analyzed for tetracycline residues, 71.3% (274/384) had detectable oxytetracycline residues. Tetracycline and doxycycline residues not detected in any of the samples analyzed. Among the meat samples collected from Addis Ababa, Debre Zeit and Nazareth slaughter houses, 93.8%, 37.5% and 82.1% were positive for oxytetracycline.

According to Letta and Attah (2013) study report the residual concentrations of organochlorine pesticides (DDT, endosulfan, chlorothanolin, aldrin, dieldrin, endrin and lindane) detected from the examined meat and organs of cattle carcasses slaughtered in the selected towns in West

Shoa Zone, Ethiopia. The predominant organochlorine pesticides obtained in meat and organs is DDT with the highest contamination observed in the Holeta samples.

According to Tilahun et al. (2016) report in the United States, hormones have been approved for use since the 1950 and are now believed to be used on approximately two thirds of all cattle and about 90% of the cattle on feedlots. Cattle producers used hormones because they allow animals to grow larger and more quickly on less feed and fewer other inputs, thus reducing production costs, but also because they produce a leaner carcass more in line with consumer preferences for diets with reduced fat and cholesterol. Melengestrol acetate used to improve weight gain and feed efficiency, is approved for use as a feed additive. The U.S. Food and Drug Administration (FDA) and the U.S. Department of Agriculture (USDA) cooperate in regulating growth promotants for livestock (Johnson, 2015). Hormonal growth promotants, and the synthetic derivatives, are used to improve an animal's ability to use nutrients efficiently.

Beef producers use hormonal growth promotants because

they improve meat quality by increasing the development of lean meat and decreasing fat content increase feed efficiency thereby allowing more growth with less feed reduce costs for producers thereby reducing the price of meat and meat products for consume beef production in Canada competitive with other beef producing nations (Canadian Cattlemen's Association and Beef Information Center, 2001).

Along with increasing in live weight due to high growth hormone treatment, there is a corresponding increase in dressed carcasses weight. Carcass implanted with hormonal growth promoters has a higher ossification score but a 10 to 15% lower marbling score. The reasons for reduced marbling scores are unclear. Some have

proposed that implantation of hormonal growth promoters early in an animals' life could delay the deposition of marbling, whereas others consider that it is due to the stimulation of increased protein deposition which effectively dilutes the fat content within the muscle (Lawrence et al., 2001). Meat from high growth hormone treated animals does not improve as quickly during ageing, so an additional period may be required. Tender stretching (hanging by the aitch bone or sacrosciatic ligament) during chilling has been shown to provide great improvement in the tenderness of certain primal cuts. This will largely offset the effects of high growth hormone treatment on carcass quality (Hunter, 2001).

**Table 3:** Chemical residue(Veterinary Drug and pesticide residue)

Residue	Threshold level(mg/kg)	Health effect on human
1. Oxy tetera cycline	0.6	Developing multidrug resistance, disruption of intestinal normal microflora
2. Penicillin G	0.05	Developing multidrug resistance and disruption of intestinal normal microflora
3. Albendazole	5.0	Developing multidrug resistance, disruption of intestinal normal microflora
4. Isomethamidium	1.0	Developing multidrug resistance, disruption of intestinal normal microflora
5. Thiabendazole	0.1	Developing multidrug resistance, disruption of intestinal normal microflora
6.DDT	0.13	Carcinogenicity

Source (Ture, Fentie, and Regassa 2019),(Mekonnen, Siraj, and Negash. 2021)

**Table 4:** Chemical Residue (Veterinary and Pesticide residue)

Residue	Number of sample	Location	Content (%)	Reference
1. Oxy tetracycline	42	Bahir dar,Debere tabor	97.67	Agmas and Adugna.,2018
	384	Addis Ababa	93.8	Bedada and Zewde. 2012
	384	Deberziet	37.5	
	384	Adama	82.1	
2. Pinstripe	42	Bahir Dar, Debere tabor	48.84	Agmas and Adugna.,2018
3. sulfonamides drugs	42	Bahir Dar, Debere tabor	9.30	
4. DDT	90	Ambo, Guder, Ginchi, Gedo, Holeta	2.16 mg/kg	Letta and Attah .2013
5.Endosulfan-I	90	Ambo, Guder, Ginchi, Gedo, Holeta	0.025mg/kg	
6.Lindane	90	Ambo, Guder, Ginchi, Gedo, Holeta	0.009mg/kg	

**Table 5:** Physicochemical quality of meat

Parameters	Number of sample	of location	Content (%)	Reference
1. Tenderness	39	Arsi, Harar, Borena	38.5	Dagne et al. 2021
	45	Aresi, Bale, Harer	21.3	Birmaduma et al .2019
	45	Aresi, Bale, Harer	33.1	Gebrehiwot et al. 2018
2. water holding capacity	39	Arsi,Harar,Borena	20.34	Dagne et al. 2021
	80	Mekele	6.22-7.3	Gebrehiwot et al. 2018
3. Redness	39	Arsi,Harar,Borena	37-72.7	Dagne et al. 2021
	80	Mekele	5.8-5.9	Gebrehiwot et al. 2018
	83	Adegrat.michew,mekela,wekro	42.17	Kumar and Kassaye 2010
4. PH	80	Mekele	48.4-51.1	Gebrehiwot et al. 2018
	83	Adegrat, michew,mekela,wekro	5.6-7	Kumar and Kassaye 2010
5. Juiciness	39	Arsi,Harar,Borena	6.5-8.2	Dagne et al. 2021
	45	Aresi, Bale, Harer	7.12	Gebrehiwot et al. 2018
6. Flavor	39	Arsi,Harar,Borena	10.65-12.46	Dagne et al. 2021
	45	Aresi, Bale, Harer	7.2	Gebrehiwot et al. 2018
7. Lightness	39	Arsi,Harar,Borena	11.57-14.02	Dagne et al. 2021
8. Yellowness	39	Arsi,Harar,Borena	28.81-38.15	
9. Dry matter	39	Arsi,Borena, Harer	22.4-24.2	
10. Ash	39	Arsi,Borena, Harer	0.32-1.28	
11. Fat	39	Arsi,Borena, Harer	4.32-7.8	
12. Protein	39	Arsi,Borena, Harer	17.2-22.76	
13. Ratio of n-6 to n-3	83	Adegrat,Michew,mekelle, wekro	2.1-2.57	Kumar and Kassaye 2010
14. Marbling	83	Adegrat,michew,mekelle,wekro	14.46-43.3	
15. Bleeding	83	Adegrat, michew,mekelle,wekro	15.56	

**Table 6:** Factors affecting Meat quality

Factors	Result	Reference
1. Breed/Genetic	Three breeds used for the study(Harar, Aresi and Bale).Breed had significant effect on meat quality(Tenderness, Juiceness and Flavor). <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• Tenderness(%): Harar(7.1), Aresi(7.23), Bale(7.32)</li> <li>• Juiceness(%): Harar(7.4), Aresi(7.02), Bale(7.09).</li> <li>• Flavor(%): Harar(6.97), Arsi(7.37), Bale(7.51)</li> </ul>	Birmaduma et al .2019
	Three breeds used for the study(Harar, Borena and Aresi). Breeds had significant effect on meat quality. <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• Flavor(%): Aresi(6.52), Borena(6.97), Harar(6.77)</li> <li>• Juiciness(%): Aresi(6.66), Borna(7.35), Harar(6.82)</li> <li>• Tenderness(%): Arsi(7.17), Borna (7.99), Harar(7.42)</li> </ul>	Tefera et al.,2020

2. Age	<p>&gt;3 year, 4-6 year, 7-9 year bull used. Age of cattle had significant effect on meat character.</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• Flavor(%): 3 year(6.52), 4-6 year(7.42), 7-9 year(7.28)</li> <li>• Juiciness(%): 3 year(7.35), 4-6 year(7.05 ), 7-9 year(6.71)</li> <li>• Tenderness(%): 3 year(7.99), 4-6 year(7.35), 7-9 year(6.63)</li> </ul>	Dange et al.,2020
	<p>&gt; 5 , 5-7 , 7-9, &gt;9 year bull used. Age of cattle had significant effect on meat quality.</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• Tenderness(%): &gt;5 year(7.74), 5-7 year(7.17), 7-9 year(7.13), &gt;9 year(6.74)</li> <li>• Juiciness(%):&gt;5 year(7.4), 5-7 year(7.2), 7-9 year(7.1), &gt;9 year(7.0)</li> </ul> <p>Flavor(%): &gt;5 year(6.69), 5-7 year (7.23), 7-9 year(7.44), &gt;9 year(7.69)</p>	Birmaduma et al .2019
3. Season	Wet and Dry season used for fat grade comparison of bull. Dry season had high fat grade compared to wet season.	(Mummed and Webb., 2014)
4. Feed	Formulated feed had significant effect on meet quality (linseed based cake) attain proper weight and quality meat according to the report.	Weldegebrial et al.,2018
5.Pre-slaughter Transporting and handling	<p>Should be loaded on the farm, transported and unloaded at the abattoir with the minimum of stress.</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• This is because muscle glycogen stores are mobilized by stress hormones, leaving a low concentration of glycogen in muscle at slaughter. As ultimate pH increases, the desirable flavors associated with cooked beef tend to decline.</li> </ul>	Dagne and Ameha, 2017
6.Carcass fatness	The beef as minimum of 3% fat is suggested to ensure acceptable palatability of beef. The fat content of the beaf for Arsi, Borena and harar ranged(4.32 - 7.88%)	Dagne et al. 2021

### Beef meat microbial safety in Ethiopia

According to Gebeyehu (2013) study report in Adama town, on carcass samples collected from the Arsi breed of cattle with the objective of evaluating beef microbiological qualities with standard procedures. On the night carcass sampling about 125 cattle slaughtered and the carcass samples chosen on every 10 counting. Beef samples aseptically excised and collected from all parts of the exposed body of carcasses. The mean AP, Total coliform, Fecal coliform, *E. coli* and staphylococci counts as  $1.62 \times 10^5$ ,  $5.29 \times 10^1$ ,  $9.05 \times 10^1$ ,  $8.97 \times 10^1$  and  $5.54 \times 10^5$  respectively. All counts exceeded the established standard microbiological contents of beef set by different countries. This report on AP is comparable with (Mezegeb and moges.,1998) that reported an aerobic mesophilic counts that ranged from  $2 \times 10^7$  to  $2 \times 10^8$  cfu/g in the traditional minced beef locally called 'kitfo'. In general according to the study report Adama, carcasses are normally transported to the butchers' shop either in vans, minibus, taxi, three wheel motor cycle and horse cart. This exposes the meat to a number of pathogens some of which may be pathogenic.

According to Feyera et al. (2014) study report the prevalence of *E. coli* isolated from raw meat samples of cattle origin conducted in Dire Dawa city, Ethiopia, from November 2012 to April 2013. A total of 384 meat samples collected from two abattoirs and cultured for detection of *E. coli*. Comparison on the prevalence of the bacteria made in relation to source (Dire Dawa ELFORA abattoir and Dire Dawa Municipal abattoir), site (neck and breast area, fore and hind limbs and lower back areas) and period (holidays and non holidays) of meat sample collected. The investigation revealed a 15.89% overall prevalence of *E. coli* in the meat samples. Load of *E. coli* at ELFORA (17.29%) and Dire Dawa Municipal (24.48%) abattoirs. Abattoir alone, the prevalence (24.48%) of *E. coli* was With regards to the site of sample collection, a slightly higher than the report of (Haileselassie et al. 2013).

The neck and breast area found to be the highly contaminated site (29.95%) followed by lower back area (17.52%), for limbs (11.49%) and hind limbs (7.14%), respectively. Contamination of carcass with *E. coli* recorded during holidays (30.77%) than non holidays (13.55%). The marked considered such as source of meat, site of collection on difference in prevalence of *E. coli* between the two the carcass and period of sample collection. In general, periods could be ascribed to the large number of animals the poor sanitary conditions during meat processing slaughtered during holiday events that can cause work appear to highly contribute to carcass contamination by overload on abattoir workers with a consequence of *E. coli*.

According to Tafesse et al. (2014) study report a total of 30 beef meat samples collected from vendors in Jijiga town. The samples held in a temperature range of 17.5-

27.5°C. Total mesophilic bacteria, Enterobacteriaceae and coliforms, *Staphylococci*, lactic acid bacteria, yeasts and moulds had counts of >7, 4, 6, 4 and 4 log cfu/g respectively. The aerobic plate counts dominated by *Staphylococcus* spp. followed by Enterobacteriaceae. *Salmonellae* also isolated from 5 (8.3%) meat samples. Jewelries observed especially on vendor's hand were very high (70%) as compared to street food vendors assessed in other areas of Ethiopia such as Mekele (35.7%) and Awassa (28.7%) (Ayana, Yohannis, and Abera. 2015). Hands are the most important vehicle for the transfer of organisms from faeces, nose, skin or other sites to food (WHO, 1984). Trainings, inspections, infrastructures and code of practice are recommended.

According to Sebsibe and Asfaw. (2020) study report on raw meat the presence of *E. coli* from meat of cattle and swab samples at abattoir houses and butcher shops in Jimma town, Southwest district of Ethiopia. Out of 505 samples, 102 (20.2%) and 27 (5.4%) positive for *E. coli* and *E. coli* O157:H7, respectively. Among these, 55 (19.3%) and 47 (21.4%) of *E. coli* and 17 (6.0%) and 10 (4.5%) of *E. coli* O157:H7 had isolated from the abattoir and butcher shop samples, respectively. Another study report showed that the overall prevalence of *E. coli* O157:H7 was 31.20% in Africa and 1.65–7.35% in other continents (Islam et al. 2014). All abattoir and butcher shop workers did not have any formal education or training certificates on food safety and unhygienic practices also observed. In general the presence of *E. coli* in raw meat highlights how the current meat processing and distribution practice was unhygienic. Therefore, strategies in the prevention and control of food borne infections that could be caused by multi drug resistant strains will depend greatly on hygienic processing and distribution practices of meat.

According to Abebe and Arero. (2020) study report the bacteriological load of raw meat and associated factors among butcher houses of Adama town, Ethiopia, 2019. The average contamination 5.89 log Colony Forming Unit per gram for total aerobic plate count. Whereas, proportion of meat samples were positive for Salmonella and shegella were (9.8% and 2.67%) respectively. According to food and agricultural organization total aerobic plate counts exceeding 5.0 log<sub>10</sub> on fresh meat are not acceptable and alarm signals on meat hygiene (Marimuthu et al. 2015). In general Bacterial logarithmic mean values were beyond the acceptable standard indication of poor hygiene, making it a potential source of food borne infection. Therefore, stringent inspection, regular supervision, training and hygienic practices should be introduced to enhance hygienic quality meat for consumers.

According to Bersisa, Tulu, and Negera (2019) study report sample taken from bisheftu from November 2015 to November 2016 to determine bacterial load and identify pathogenic bacteria (*S. aureus*, *E. coli*, and *Salmonellae* species) in meat from abattoir and butcher shops. A total of

124 samples (48 swab samples from abattoir carcass, 4 samples of carcass washing water about 20 ml of each, and 36 swab samples each from butcher shop cutting table and cutting knife, respectively) collected during the study period. The collected samples processed for aerobic plate count, and the total mean count found to be 4.53 log<sub>10</sub> cfu/cm<sup>2</sup> from abattoir carcass swab samples, 2.4 log<sub>10</sub> cfu/ml from water samples, 6.58 log<sub>10</sub> cfu/cm<sup>2</sup> from butcher shops cutting table, and 6.1 log<sub>10</sub> cfu/cm<sup>2</sup> from cutting knife swab samples. *E. coli* was the dominant bacterial species isolated (35.2%), followed by *S. aureus* (22.5%) and *Salmonellae* species (9.9%). According to the questionnaire survey, 48.4% (15/31) of the abattoir workers did not receive any training regarding food safety issues. Majority (66.67%) of the respondents of the butcher house workers were grade 1–4 (elementary) in their educational level and do not use hairnet and handle money with bare hands during serving meat to consumers. The study showed that the hygienic status of the abattoir and butcher shops in the study area is poor, and the obtained results of bacterial load are higher than the acceptable limit of the standard.

According to Mengistu, Abayneh, and Shiferaw (2017) report samples collected in Dire Dawa city and Haramaya University slaughterhouses and retail shops to identify major food borne pathogens, assess the beef microbial safety and potential contamination risk factors. From 320 beef and environmental pooled samples examined for the presence of *E. coli*, *E. coli* O157:H7 and *Salmonella* in accordance with international standard guidelines. Among the 290 total beef samples collected, *E. coli* isolated from 36(12.41%) and of which 6(2.06%) *E. coli* O157 H7. On the other hand 8(2.75%) *Salmonella* spp. identified using culture and biochemical tests. The study indicated significantly higher fecal coli forms counts in beef samples from Haramaya University slaughter house (7.50 log<sub>10</sub> cfug<sup>-1</sup>) than in carcass sample from Haramaya retail shop (4.80 log<sub>10</sub> cfug<sup>-1</sup>). Out of 30 environmental pooled samples, *E. coli*, *E. coli* O157:H7 and *Salmonella* investigated in 7(23.33%), 2(6.66%) and 2(6.66%), respectively.

In general from the visual observations slaughterhouse, hygienic practice employed, personnel habit found below the minimum standards. Therefore, good management and hygienic practices should be introduced in order to enhance the overall safety and hygienic quality of beef for the safeguard of consumers. The prevalence of *E. coli* O157:H7 isolated from beef in Haramaya university slaughterhouse (2.2%) and Dire dawa slaughterhouse (4%). The study is in agreement with the reported prevalence of 2.60% (mekonenen H et al.,2012) and 2.65% (Taye M et al., 2013) in Ethiopia. In comparison to the present study, a higher prevalence of *E. coli* O157:H7 reported from different countries; 8% in Debre Zeit and Mojo (Hiko et al., 2008) and 8.1% in Mojo, Ethiopia (Merasha et al., 2009), 9% in India (Iuga., 2009).The study

showed lower prevalence of *E. coli* O157:H7 also isolated from Dire Dawa retail shop (1%) which is in agreement with the report from America (0.8%) (Desenclos et al., 1998) and Kenya (0.2%) (Chapman et al.,1998).

According to Zerabruk et al. (2019) study report the microbiological quality and safety of beef samples supplied to Gullele sub-city Addis Ababa assessed. The prevalence of *E. coli*, *Salmonella* and *S. aureus* in meat samples 29.55%, 3.4% and 28.41%, respectively. A comparative study done by Ejeta et al. (2004) and (Anbessa Dabassa., 2012) had reported 14.1% and 13.3% prevalence of *Salmonella*, which is higher than our study. In general the study report conclude the overall facilities slaughtering techniques, cold chain management, handling of slaughter cattle in the abattoir and personal hygiene, environmental sanitation, knowledge on food handling and educational status of the butcher shops workers were among the predominant factors of contamination of the beef.

According to Tolossa and Yacob . (2015) study report A cross sectional study conducted in cattle slaughtered at Adama municipal abattoir in southwest Shoa zone of Oromia region to estimate the prevalence of bovine cysticercosis using routine meat inspection method and questionnaire surveys undertaken to assess the status of human taeniosis . Among 384 carcasses sample examined, 2.6% infected with *Cysticercus bovis* (*C. bovis*). Among positive cases for *C. bovis*, 78% viable while it was more prevalent in the tongue and triceps muscle (40%, 24%) than in the liver masseter muscle (10% 6%) respectively. Similar finding reported by Stata Corp. (2001) at Addis Ababa Abattoir. Based on the questionnaire survey, *Taenia saginata* (*T. saginata*) is a wide spread problem in Adama town where Out of 200 respondents 44% of the respondents had contracted *T. saginata* at least once in the past years which is close to the findings of Adugna. (2018). Among respondents 85% of them do have a knowledge that taeniosis is a zoonotic disease transmitted through consumption of raw beef meat. Improvement in meat inspection procedures and sanitary conditions as well as public education as to decrease negligence among public should get due attention.

According to Emal and Kebede. (2016) study report the prevalence of major parasitic infections The study conducted on cattle slaughtered at Hawassa Municipal Abattoir. Liver, lung, heart and kidneys the organs examined for the parasites and find that *Fasciola spp.*'s (37.19%)the main factors for condemnation of those inspected organs which shows that a lower prevalence *fasciolosis* observed when compared with following study like, Berhanu (2006), Fistum (2009), Gemechu (2008) and Shegaw (2008) reported a rejection rate of 54.8, 43.7, 53.9, 50, liver due to fasciolosis at Dire Dawa, Gonder, Haramaya and Addis Ababa abattoirs respectively, *hydatid cyst* (73.65%) which is higher compared with previous report made at the same study area with a prevalence 25.2% (Andualem, 2007), 64.56% (Fistum, 2009), 24.3%

(Shegaw, 2008) and *Cysticercus bovis* (5.91%). Among 406 cattle sample examined postmortem, 313 (77.09%) animals had at least one organ condemned due to the presence of helminth parasites. *Fasciola spp.*'s commonly found in animals with poor body condition (78.95%) as compared to medium (35.16%) and good (35.12%) body condition animals. Therefore, creation of awareness on animal attendants and/or cattle owners and abattoir workers about the effect of parasites and safe disposal of condemned organs must be needed.

According to Yimer and Gebrmedehan. (2019) reported a cross sectional study conducted in cattle slaughtered at Debre Brihan Abattoir from December 2016 to April 2017. *bovine cysticercosis* and to estimate the prevalence of *Taenia saginata* human infection in and around Debre Brihan town, Central Ethiopia assessed. Patients' demographic data and the results of stool examinations conducted using the formalin ethyl acetate concentration technique collected from records at Debre Brihan Referral Hospital. Among 405 sample examined carcasses, 22 (5.43%) found infected with various number of *Cysticercus bovis* in different organs which is comparable to the findings of Kifle and Shiret.(2008). Organ distribution of the cysts showed highest proportion observed in tongue, 7 (31.81%) followed by heart 6 (27.27%) in shoulder and masseter muscles 4 (18.18%) and 1 (4.54%) in liver. Among 2484 suspected patients, 97 (3.9%) stools positive

for *Taenia saginata* eggs. The results obtained in this study shows the need for integrated community based control strategies such as continuous public education and improved standards of human hygiene together with restriction of backyard slaughtering of cattle are recommended in the study areas.

Unnevehr et al., (2020) reported Aflatoxin B1 is metabolized to aflatoxin M1 (AFM1) in the liver and excreted in the milk of dairy cows. Because aflatoxins are degraded by flora in the cow's rumen, the amount of AFM1 excreted in milk is only around 1–7 percent of the total amount of aflatoxin B1 ingested. Higher-yielding animals consuming large amounts of concentrates typically have higher levels in their milk. The presence of mastitis may increase the secretion of aflatoxins Trace levels of aflatoxins and their metabolites may also carry over into the edible tissue of meat producing animals. Poultry feed contaminated at the level of 3,000 ppb result in levels of 3 ppb in poultry meat. Aflatoxins may be carried over from feed to eggs at ratios ranging from 5,000–125,000 to 1 (Zaghini et al. 2005).

These transfer rates are much lower than for milk, and surveys in developing countries typically find levels of less than 10 ppb in meat and offal. Given the relatively low quantities of animal source food consumed, this is not likely to present a major contribution to overall consumption of aflatoxins in the diet (IFPRI, 2020).

**Table 7:** Microbial safety of beef meat in different part of Ethiopia.

Parameter	Number of sample	Location	Content (%)	Reference
1. <i>E. coli</i>	505	Jima	20.2%	Sebsibe and Asfaw. 2020
	320	Dirdawa and Haramaya university	36%	Mengistu, Abayneh, and Shiferaw .2017)
		Addis Ababa	29.55%	Zerabruk et al. 2019
	124	Bishoftu	35.2%	Bersisa, Tulu, and Negera .2019
2. <i>Salmonella</i>	124	Adama	9.8%	Abebe and Arero. 2020
		Bishoftu	9.9%	Bersisa, Tulu, and Negera .2019
	320	Dirdawa and Haramaya university	2.75%	Mengistu, Abayneh, and Shiferaw .2017)
		Addis Ababa	3.4%	Zerabruk et al. 2019
3. <i>E. coli O157H7</i>	320	Dirdawa and Haramaya university	2.06%	Mengistu, Abayneh, and Shiferaw .2017)
4. <i>Shigella</i>	124	Adama	2.67%	Abebe and Arero. 2020
5. <i>Aerobic count</i>		Bishoftu	6.58%	Bersisa, Tulu, and Negera .2019
6. <i>S. aureus</i>		Addis Ababa	28.41%	Zerabruk et al. 2019
7. <i>C. bovis</i>	384	Adama	2.6%	Yacobe and Tolesa.,2015
	406	Hawasa	5.91%	Emal and Kebede.,2016
	405	Debere Birhan	5.43	Yimer and Geberemedehin.,2019
8. <i>Hydatid cyst</i>	406	Hawassa	73.65%	Emal and Kebede.,2016
9. <i>Fasciola spp.</i>	406	Hawasa	37.19%	
10. <i>Taeniasis</i>	405	Debere berhan	4.3%	Yimer and Geberemedehin.,2019
11. <i>Yeast</i>	30	Jijiga	4 cfu/g	Tafesse et al. 2014

Unnevehr et al., (2020)

## Beneficial microbes in meat processing and preservation

According to Lu. (2000) review report use of appropriately selected lactic acid bacteria reduce the risk of growth of salmonellae and other vegetative pathogens during sausage fermentation, and may contribute to the inhibition of *Listeria monocytogenes* on some perishable meat products. It appears to be possible to select strains that cause only little sensory alteration in the product. The most important mechanism of action of protective cultures is the formation of lactic acid; the effect of bacteriocins is diminished by their inactivation in the meat, the possibility of resistance development in target organisms, and, in particular, the resistance of Gram-negative pathogens.

## CONCLUSION

The country had high cattle resources potential, their productivity and quality earned of this resource is low. Attention is required to overcome the constraints that affecting beef eating quality attributes, like Pre and post handling of animals and beef, respectively. In general from all study report report conclude the overall facilities slaughtering techniques , Cold chain management , Handling of slaughter cattle in the abattoir and personal hygiene, environmental sanitation, Knowledge on food handling ,educational status of the butcher shops workers were among the predominant factors of contamination of the beef. Therefore, implementations of GMP and HACCP principles as strategy should be adapted to control pathogenic microbes which pose public health risks.

## REFERENCE

- Ethiopian meat and dairy industry development institute, (2019). 2017. "Ethiopian Meat & Dairy Industry Development Institute Feasibility Study for the Establishment of Milk Processing",.
- Ackland Timothy R, Arthur D. Stewart (2013). *Assessing Body Composition. Sports Nutrition*. Vol. 19. <https://doi.org/10.1002/9781118692318.ch6>.
- Addis Mekonnen (2015). "Major Causes Of Meat Spoilage and Preservation Techniques: A Review." *Food Science and Quality Management* 41: 2224–6088. [www.iiste.org](http://www.iiste.org).
- Adzitey F (2011). "Effect of Pre-Slaughter Animal Handling on Carcass and Meat Quality." *International Food Research Journal*.
- Agmas Birhan, Mulugojjam Adugna (2018). "Antimicrobial Residue Occurrence and Its Public Health Risk of Beef Meat in Debre Tabor and Bahir Dar, Northwest Ethiopia." *Veterinary World* 11 (7): 902–8. <https://doi.org/10.14202/vetworld.2018.902-908>.
- Anbessa Dabassa, ketema bacha (2012). "The Prevalence and Antibigram of Salmonella and Shigella Isolated From" 3 (4): 143–48.
- Aschalew Abebe, Godana Arero, Teklu Shiferaw (2020). "Bacteriological Quality of Raw Meat , Antibiotic Susceptibility Pattern of Bacterial Isolation and Associated Risk Factors Among Butcher Houses Of," 1–16. <https://www.researchsquare.com/article/rs-38354/latest.pdf>.
- Ayana Zelalem, Moti Yohannis, Zelalem Abera (2015). "Food-Borne Bacterial Diseases in Ethiopia." *Academic Journal of Nutrition* 4 (1): 62–76. <https://doi.org/10.5829/idosi.ajn.2015.4.1.95168>.
- Bachewe Fantu, Bart Minten, Fanaye Tadesse, Alemayehu S Taffesse (2018). "The Evolving Livestock Sector in Ethiopia Growth by Heads , Not by Productivity,".
- Bartoň L, M Marounek, VKudrna, D Bureš, R. Zahrádková (2007). "Growth Performance and Fatty Acid Profiles of Intramuscular and Subcutaneous Fat from Limousin and Charolais Heifers Fed Extruded Linseed." *Meat Science* 76 (3): 517–23. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.meatsci.2007.01.005>.
- Bashir, Mohamed, Wahab Alla, Twfig Eltigani Mohamed, Atif Elamin Abdelgadir (2011). "Detection of Antibiotics Residues in Beef in Ghanawa Slaughterhouse , Khartoum State , Sudan." *African Journal of Food Science* 5 (10): 574–80. <http://www.academicjournals.org/AJFS>.
- Bedada Addisalem Hunde, Bayleyegn Molla Zewde (2012). "Tetracycline Residue Levels in Slaughtered Beef Cattle from Three Slaughterhouses in Central Ethiopia." *Global Veterinaria* 8 (6): 546–54.
- Bersisa Abebe, Dereje Tulu, Chaluma Negera (2019). "Investigation of Bacteriological Quality of Meat from Abattoir and Butcher Shops in Bishoftu, Central Ethiopia." *International Journal of Microbiology* 2019. <https://doi.org/10.1155/2019/6416803>.
- Birmaduma Gadisa (2019). "Evaluation of Eating Quality in Sensory Panelist and Instrumental Tenderness of Beef from Harar, Arsi and Bale Cattle Breeds in Oromia, Ethiopia." *International Journal of Agricultural Science and Food Technology*, no. January: 035–042. <https://doi.org/10.17352/2455-815x.000039>.
- Bongiorni S, CEM Gruber, S Bueno, G. Chillemi, F. Ferrè, S. Failla, B. Moiola, A. Valentini. (2016). "Transcriptomic Investigation of Meat Tenderness in Two Italian Cattle Breeds." *Animal Genetics* 47 (3): 273–87. <https://doi.org/10.1111/age.12418>.
- Cha, Longitudinal Study (2013).
- Dagne Timketa, Yesihak Yusuf Mammed, Mohammed Yusuf Kurtu, Mengistu Urgea Leta, Travis Gene O'Quinn, and Jessie L. Vipham. (2021). "Proximate Composition and Fatty Acid Profile of Beef from Arsi, Borana and Harar Cattle Breeds in Oromia National Regional State, Ethiopia." *Open Journal of Animal Sciences* 11 (02): 139–56. <https://doi.org/10.4236/ojas.2021.112011>.
- Ejeta G, B. Molla, D. Alemayehu, A. Muckle. (2004).

- "Salmonella Serotypes Isolated from Minced Meat Beef, Mutton and Pork in Addis Ababa, Ethiopia." *Revue de Medecine Veterinaire* 155 (11): 547–51.
- Emal Dinsefa, Bedasjo Kebede. (2016). "The Study of Major Parasitic Causes of Organ Condemnation and Financial Losses in Cattle Slaughtered at Hawassa Municipal Abattoir, Ethiopia." *Cogent Food & Agriculture* 2 (1). <https://doi.org/10.1080/23311932.2016.1201183>.
- Eshetie, Tekeba. (2018). "Meat Production, Consumption and Marketing Tradeoffs and Potentials in Ethiopia and Its Effect on GDP Growth: A Review." *Journal of Nutritional Health & Food Engineering* 8 (3): 17–24. <https://doi.org/10.15406/jnhfe.2018.08.00274>.
- Ethiopia Ministry of Agriculture, 2012. 2020. "Ethiopia Livestock Master Plan Roadmaps for Growth and Transformation."
- FAO, 2019. *The Future of Livestock in Ethiopia. Opportunities and Challenges in the Face of Uncertainty. Rome. 48 Pp. Licence: CC BY-NC-SA 3.0 IGO.*
- Faso Burkina, Delia Grace. (2018). *Food Safety Investment Expert Advice :*
- Feyera Teka, Ousman Mohammed, Dawit Shimelis, Petros Admasu. (2014). "Prevalence and Antimicrobial Susceptibility Pattern of E. Coli Isolates from Raw Meat Samples Obtained from Abattoirs in Dire Dawa City, Eastern Ethiopia Anthelmintic Resistance and Residue Depletion in Poultry View Project Prevalence and Antimicrobial Su." *International Journal of Microbiological Research* 5 (1): 35–39. <https://doi.org/10.5829/idosi.ijmr.2014.5.1.82306>.
- Gebeyehu Arse (2013). "Evaluation of Microbial Load of Beef of Arsi Cattle in Adama Town, Oromia, Ethiopia." *Journal of Food Processing & Technology* 04 (06). <https://doi.org/10.4172/2157-7110.1000234>.
- Gebrehiwot Gebregiorgis, Tegene Negesse, Aster Abebe. (2017). "Effect of Feeding Leucaena Leucocephala Leaves and Pods on Feed Intake, Digestibility, Body Weight Change and Carcass Characteristic of Central-Highland Sheep Fed Basal Diet Wheat Bran and Natural Pasture Hay in Tigray, Ethiopia." *International Journal of Agriculture, Environment and Biotechnology* 10 (3): 367. <https://doi.org/10.5958/2230-732x.2017.00045.6>.
- Gebrehiwot Haileslassie W, Endale Balcha, Yohanes Hagos, W Kidane. (2018). "Determination of PH and Water Holding Capacity of Beef from Selected Butcher Shops of Mekelle , Ethiopia." *Journal of Veterinary Medicine and Animal Health* 10 (June): 159–64. <https://doi.org/10.5897/JVMAH2018.0680>.
- Grönvall, Antonia (2013). "Animal Welfare in Ethiopia: Handling of Cattle during Transport and Operations at Kera Abattoir , Addis Ababa."
- Gunenc A (2007). "Evaluation of Pork Meat Quality by Using Water Holding Capacity and Vis-Spectroscopy." *Montreal, Quebec, Canada: McGill University*, no. August.
- Gutema Fanta D, Getahun E Agga, Reta D. Abdi, Alemnesh Jufare, Luc Duchateau, Lieven De Zutter, Sarah Gabriël. (2021). "Assessment of Hygienic Practices in Beef Cattle Slaughterhouses and Retail Shops in Bishoftu, Ethiopia: Implications for Public Health." *International Journal of Environmental Research and Public Health* 18 (5): 1–13. <https://doi.org/10.3390/ijerph18052729>.
- Haileselassie Mekonnen, Habtamu Taddele, Kelali Adhana, Shewit Kalayou (2013). "Food Safety Knowledge and Practices of Abattoir and Butchery Shops and the Microbial Profile of Meat in Mekelle City, Ethiopia." *Asian Pacific Journal of Tropical Biomedicine* 3 (5): 407–12. [https://doi.org/10.1016/S2221-1691\(13\)60085-4](https://doi.org/10.1016/S2221-1691(13)60085-4).
- Hogan Eamonn, Alan L. Kelly, Da Wen Sun. (2005). "High Pressure Processing of Foods. An Overview." *Emerging Technologies for Food Processing*, 3–32. <https://doi.org/10.1016/B978-012676757-5/50003-7>.
- Hwang, Young Hwa, and Seon Tea Joo (2017). "Fatty Acid Profiles, Meat Quality, and Sensory Palatability of Grain-Fed and Grass-Fed Beef from Hanwoo, American, and Australian Crossbred Cattle." *Korean Journal for Food Science of Animal Resources* 37 (2): 153–61. <https://doi.org/10.5851/kosfa.2017.37.2.153>.
- ILRI. (2004). "Red Meat and Poultry Production and Consumption in Ethiopia and Distribution in Addis Ababa." *International Livestock Research Institute*, no. August.
- Islam, Md Zohorul, Alfred Musekiwa, Kamrul Islam, Shahana Ahmed, Sharmin Chowdhury, Abdul Ahad, and Paritosh Kumar Biswas (2014). "Regional Variation in the Prevalence of E. Coli O157 in Cattle: A Meta-Analysis and Meta-Regression." *PLoS ONE* 9 (4). <https://doi.org/10.1371/journal.pone.0093299>.
- Kifleyesus Abbebe (2007). "Food Familiarity and Novelty in a Condition of Socio-Economic Transformation in North-Central Ethiopia." *Journal of Eastern African Studies* 1 (3): 449–65. <https://doi.org/10.1080/17531050701625417>.
- Kumar Ashwani, Etsay Kebede, Enquebahe Kassaye. (2010). "Evaluation of Quality of Beef Produced and Sold in Parts of Tigray Region of Ethiopia." *Tropical Animal Health and Production* 42 (3): 445–49. <https://doi.org/10.1007/s11250-009-9441-5>.
- Kumar Ashwani (2011). Tadesse, Gebrehiwot. n.d. "Bovine Cysticercosis in Ethiopia: A Review Prevalence of Bovine Cysticercosis in Ethiopia."
- Kyayesimira, Juliet, Lejju Julius Bunny, Morgan Andama. (1962). "Microbial Quality of Beef and Hygiene Practices in Small and Medium Slaughterhouses and Butcheries in Uganda," 1–13.
- Lawrie, R. A., and David Ledward. (2006). *Lawrie's Meat Science: Seventh Edition. Lawrie's Meat Science: Seventh Edition.* <https://doi.org/10.1533/9781845691615>.
- Legese, Getachew, Hailemariam Teklewold, Dawit Alemu, and Asfaw Negassa. (2008). "Live Animal and Meat

- Export Value Chains for Selected Areas in Ethiopia. Constraints and Opportunities for Enhancing Meat Exports. Improving Market Opportunities. Discussion Paper No. 12. ILRI (International Livestock Research Institute), Nairobi, Kenya.,” no. March.
- Letta Bayessa D, Louis E. Attah. (2013). “Residue Levels of Organochlorine Pesticides in Cattle Meat and Organs Slaughtered in Selected Towns in West Shoa Zone, Ethiopia.” *Journal of Environmental Science and Health - Part B Pesticides, Food Contaminants, and Agricultural Wastes* 48 (1): 23–32. <https://doi.org/10.1080/03601234.2012.693866>.
- Lu, Friedrich-karl. (2000). “Utilization of Microbes to Process and Preserve Meat” 56.
- Lundesjö Ahnström M, A. Hesse, L. Johansson, MC. Hunt, K. Lundström. (2012). “Influence of Slaughter Age and Carcass Suspension on Meat Quality in Angus Heifers.” *Animal* 6 (9): 1554–62. <https://doi.org/10.1017/S1751731112000109>.
- Marimuthu Murugaiyah, Lawan Adamu, Faez Abdullah, Mohammed Sadiq, Madihah Zin, Yusuf Abba, Abdulnasir Tijjani, Eric Chung, Konto Mohammed. (2015). “Antimicrobial Residues in Beef Animals Slaughtered in Abattoir and Non-Abattoir Small Holders Slaughter Houses in Negeri Sembilan, Malaysia.” *Alexandria Journal of Veterinary Sciences* 44 (1): 1. <https://doi.org/10.5455/ajvs.167605>.
- Mekonnen Besufekad, Jafer Siraj, Samuel Negash. (2021). “Determination of Pesticide Residues in Food Premises Using QuEChERS Method in Bench-Sheko Zone, Southwest Ethiopia.” *BioMed Research International* 2021. <https://doi.org/10.1155/2021/6612096>.
- Mengistu Shimelis, Edget Abayneh, Daniel Shiferaw. (2017). “E. Coli O157:H7 and Salmonella Species: Public Health Importance and Microbial Safety in Beef at Selected Slaughter Houses and Retail Shops in Eastern Ethiopia.” *Journal of Veterinary Science & Technology* 8 (5). <https://doi.org/10.4172/2157-7579.1000468>.
- Moran L, MGO’Sullivan, JP. Kerry, B. Picard, M. McGee, EGO’Riordan, AP. Moloney. (2017). “Effect of a Grazing Period Prior to Finishing on a High Concentrate Diet on Meat Quality from Bulls and Steers.” *Meat Science* 125: 76–83. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.meatsci.2016.11.021>.
- Muchenje V, K. Dzama, M. Chimonyo, PE. Strydom, JG. Raats. (2009). “Relationship between Pre-Slaughter Stress Responsiveness and Beef Quality in Three Cattle Breeds.” *Meat Science* 81 (4): 653–57. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.meatsci.2008.11.004>.
- Mummed Yesihak Yusuf, Edward Cottingham Webb. (2014). “Ethiopian Beef Carcass Characteristics” 9 (51): 3766–75. <https://doi.org/10.5897/AJAR2014.9102>.
- Myllyniemi AL, R. Rannikko, E. Lindfors, A. Niemi, Bäckman. (2000). “Microbiological and Chemical Detection of Incurred Penicillin G, Oxytetracycline, Enrofloxacin and Ciprofloxacin Residues in Bovine and Porcine Tissues.” *Food Additives and Contaminants* 17 (12): 991–1000. <https://doi.org/10.1080/02652030050207774>.
- Navajas EA, CA. Glasbey, AV. Fisher, DW. Ross, JJ. Hyslop, RI. Richardson, G. Simm, R. Roehe. (2010). “Assessing Beef Carcass Tissue Weights Using Computed Tomography Spirals of Primal Cuts.” *Meat Science* 84 (1): 30–38. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.meatsci.2009.08.006>.
- Niyonzima Eugène, Martin Patrick Ongol, Anasthase Kimonyo, Marianne Sindic. (2015). “Risk Factors and Control Measures for Bacterial Contamination in the Bovine Meat Chain: A Review on Salmonella and Pathogenic E.Coli.” *Journal of Food Research* 4 (5): 98. <https://doi.org/10.5539/jfr.v4n5p98>.
- Poffe R, H. Vaes, E. Van Den Eynde, H. Verachtert. (1981). “Microbiological and Hygienic Quality of Sludge.” *H2O* 14 (22).
- Productivity, Goat, and (2011) Program, Improvement. 2011. “TECHNICAL BULLETIN No . 43 Design and Implementation of Community-Based Sheep and Goat Crossbreeding Schemes,” no. 43.
- Schnettler M Berta, Ricardo Vidal M, Roberto Silva F, Lisette Vallejos C, Néstor Sepúlveda B. (2008). “Consumer Perception of Animal Welfare and Livestock Production in the Araucania Region , Chile.” *Chilean Journal of Agricultural Research* 68 (1): 80–93. <https://doi.org/10.4067/s0718-58392008000100008>.
- Sepsibe Ameha, NH. Casey, WA. Van Niekerk, Azage Tegegne, RJ. Coertze. (2007). “Growth Performance and Carcass Characteristics of Three Ethiopian Goat Breeds Fed Grainless Diets Varying in Concentrate to Roughage Ratios.” *South African Journal of Animal Sciences* 37 (4): 221–32. <https://doi.org/10.4314/sajas.v37i4.4094>.
- Sepsibe Mengistu Abayneh, Eyob Tekalign Asfaw. (2020). “Occurrence of Multi-Drug Resistant Escherichia Coli and Escherichia Coli O157:H7 in Meat and Swab Samples of Various Contact Surfaces at Abattoir and Butcher Shops in Jimma Town, Southwest District of Ethiopia.” *Infection and Drug Resistance* 13: 3853–62. <https://doi.org/10.2147/IDR.S277890>.
- Seifu Birhanu, Menda Sentayhu. (2017). “Microbial Quality of Retail Raw Meat in Administrative Towns of Gojjam Area North-West Ethiopia with Special Reference of Gram Positive Cocci Species.” *African Journal of Microbiology Research* 11 (42): 1538–43. <https://doi.org/10.5897/ajmr2017.8452>.
- Seleshe Semeneh, Cheorun Jo, Mooha Lee. (2014). “Meat Consumption Culture in Ethiopia.” *Korean Journal for Food Science of Animal Resources* 34 (1): 7–13. <https://doi.org/10.5851/kosfa.2014.34.1.7>.
- Stahlke Evelyn von Rosen, Luciane Silvia Rossa, Giovana Maria Silva, Cristina Santos Sotomaior, Antônio Juglair Pereira, Fernando Bittencourt Luciano, Tâmara Duarte Borges, Renata Ernlund Freitas de Macedo. (2019). “Effects of Modified Atmosphere Packaging (MAP) and

- Slaughter Age on the Shelf Life of Lamb Meat.” *Food Science and Technology* 39 (2): 328–35. <https://doi.org/10.1590/fst.29617>.
- Survey, Health, Key Findings. (2016). “Ethiopia.”
- Tafesse, Firew, Gulelat Desse Haki, Ketema Bacha, and Haile Alemayehu. 2014. “Microbiological Quality and Safety of Street Vended Raw Meat in Jijiga Town of Somali Regional State, Southeast Ethiopia.” *African Journal of Microbiology Research* 8 (48): 3867–74. <https://doi.org/10.5897/AJMR2014.7136>.
- Tilahun Alebachew, Lamessa Jambare, Ayichew Teshale, Abebaw Getachew. (2016). “Review on Chemical and Drug Residue in Meat.” *World Journal of Agricultural Sciences* 12 (3): 196–204. <https://doi.org/10.5829/idosi.wjas.2016.12.3.23739>.
- Timketa Dagne, Negassi Ameha. (2017). “Review on Beef Eating Quality Attributes (Tenderness, Juiciness and Flavor) and Quality Standards in Ethiopia.” *Food Science and Quality Management* 62 (0): 23–30. <http://www.iiste.org/Journals/index.php/FSQM/article/view/36430/37443>.
- Tolossa Yacob Hailu. (2015). “Bovine Cysticercosis and Human Taeniosis in Adama Town, Oromia Region, Ethiopia.” *Journal of Veterinary Science & Technology* S10 (February). <https://doi.org/10.4172/2157-7579.1000s10-003>.
- Ture Mohammed, Tsegaw Fentie, Bushura Regassa. (2019). “Veterinary Drug Residue: The Risk, Public Health Significance and Its Management.” *Journal of Dairy & Veterinary Science* 13 (2): 001–011. <https://doi.org/10.19080/JDVS.2019.13.555856>.
- Unnevehr Laurian, Delia Grace, Shenggen Fan, Rajul Pandya-lorch. n.d. “Aflatoxins Finding Solutions for Improved Food Safety Edited By.”
- USAID (2013). “Agricultural Growth Project - Livestock Market Development,” 1–154.
- Weldegebrail G Aregawi, Philimon T, Werkisa C, Kidanie D, Birhanu M, Workinesh S. (2018). “Effect of Improved Feeding Management on Body Weight Gain and Carcass Characteristics of Afar Bulls for Export Market.” *International Journal of Animal Science Research* 2 (5): 1–5.
- Wood JD, RI. Richardson, GR. Nute, AV. Fisher, MM. Campo, E Kasapidou, PR. Sheard, M. Enser. (2004). “Effects of Fatty Acids on Meat Quality: A Review.” *Meat Science* 66 (1): 21–32. [https://doi.org/10.1016/S0309-1740\(03\)00022-6](https://doi.org/10.1016/S0309-1740(03)00022-6).
- Yimer Andualem, Belayneh Mergia Gebrmedehan. (2019). “Bovine Cysticercosis and Hospital Based Retrospective Survey of Human Taeniasis in and Around Debre Brihan City, Central Ethiopia.” *Biology and Medicine* 11 (1): 1–9. <https://doi.org/10.4172/0974-8369.1000455>.
- Zerabruk Kibrom, Negussie Retta, Diriba Muleta, Anteneh T. Tefera. (2019). “Assessment of Microbiological Safety and Quality of Minced Meat and Meat Contact Surfaces in Selected Butcher Shops of Addis Ababa, Ethiopia.” *Journal of Food Quality* 2019. <https://doi.org/10.1155/2019/3902690>.
- Zvaifler Nathan J, Lilla Marinova-Mutafchieva, Gill Adams, Christopher J. Edwards, Jill Moss, Jan A. Burger, Ravinder N. Maini. (2000). “Mesenchymal Precursor Cells in the Blood of Normal Individuals.” *Arthritis Research* 2 (6): 477–88. <https://doi.org/10.1186/ar130>.