Full Length Research Paper

Assessment of Breeding resistant wheat varieties to prevailing stem rust races (Puccinia graminis f.sp.tritici) in Kenya

*Paul Leakey Tergat, Kibaki Kemboi and Gloria Jelimo

Kenya Agricultural and Livestock research Organization (KALRO), Njoro, Kenya.

Accepted 10 August, 2017

Stem rust (Puccinia graminis f.sp.tritici) of wheat (Triticum aestivum) has caused wheat yield losses in Kenya for years and the trend shows the situation has worsened. The objective of the research was to identify elite genotypes for adult plant and seedling stage resistance. Adult plant resistance study was done under natural conditions in three locations. Scoring was done following the modified Cobbs scale. Seedling stage resistance was done in the greenhouse and scored following the Stakmans scale. Genotype KSL 144, 71, 50, 31 44, 115 were identified as having seedling stage resistance. Area Under Disease Progress Curve (AUDPC) and Final Disease Severity (FDS) when used for adult plant revealed KSL 142, 71, 144, 50, 31, 44, 115, 146, 69 and 76 as having resistance. The variance (S_i) and Coefficient of Variation (CV_i) was calculated from the FDS and yield values, which distinguished stable genotypes. The stable genotypes for disease severity were KSL 69 (8.8%), 161 (14.9%), 54 (12.4%), 156 (18.24%). The relationship between yield and AUDPC was strong and negative, r=-0. 943 same as yield and FDS relationship r= -0.84. Variation for yield performance was recorded KSL 137 (2.63t/ha), KSL 31 (2.52 t/ha) showing high performance. The thousand kernel weight values were not significant for the three location at (P<0.05). The advanced genotypes that consistently performed better should be released as varieties or used in improving local varieties in the Kenyan wheat stem rust breeding programme or potentially in the Eastern Africa region.

Key words: Ug99, disease severity, Area Under Disease Progress Curve (AUDPC), resistance.

INTRODUCTION

Wheat (*Triticum aestivum*) is one of the worlds' most productive and important crop in the 21st century. There

*Corresponding Author. Email: paul.leakey@gmail.com

is increased consumption and demand for grain, for fuel as well as food (Curtis and Halford, 2014). Wheat yields must be increased which is seen as an important strategy to prevent food shortages (Curtis and Halford, 2014). It is one of the key staple crops for global food security, providing more than 35% of the cereal calorie intake in the developing world, 74 % in the developed world and 41 % globally from direct consumption (Shiferaw et al., 2013). Wheat is the second most important cereal staple food after maize in Kenya (USAID, 2010). In Kenya it is mostly grown in the Rift Valley, some areas of upper Central province (Nyandarua, Nyeri) and parts of Meru (Timau) (USAID, 2010). The crop is susceptible to three types of rust; stem (black) rust (*Puccinia graminis* f.sp.*tritici*), leaf (brown) rust *Puccinia triticina*, and stripe (yellow) rust *Puccinia striiformis* f.sp. *tritici* (Dubin and Brennan, 2009).

In most wheat-growing regions of the world, existing environmental conditions would favour stem rust infection, which could lead to epidemic buildup (Singh et al., 2011). The stem rust is the most devastating of the rust diseases and can cause losses of 50% in one month when conditions for its development are favourable. Losses of 100% can occur with susceptible cultivars (FAO, 2002). An estimated 80-90% of all global wheat cultivars growing in farmer's fields are now susceptible to Ug99 or variants (Ug99 factsheet, 2010). Ug99 is the only known race of wheat stem rust that has virulence for an extremely important resistance gene - Sr31. In addition, *Ua*99 has virulence against most of the resistance genes of wheat origin and other resistance genes from related species (Ug99 factsheet, 2010). The stem rust resistance gene Sr31 derived from rye has been used as an important source of stem rust resistance in many wheat cultivars worldwide. However, isolates of stem rust with virulence to Sr31 were identified from Uganda in 1999. Similarly stem rust susceptibility in wheat lines with Sr31 was observed in Kenya in 2003 and 2004 (Jin and Singh, 2006).

Ug99 possesses broad virulence, especially virulence to genes commonly used in combinations for stem rust resistance in wheat cultivars (Jin and Singh, 2006; Njau et al., 2009). Detection in Kenya of a new variant TTKST in 2006 with virulence to gene Sr24, which caused severe epidemics in 2007 in some regions of Kenya and rendered about half of the previously known Ug99resistant global wheat materials susceptible, has further increased the vulnerability globally (Singh et al., 2008). The emergence of virulence on Sr24 within the TTKST race cluster has probably increased the vulnerability of wheat to stem rust worldwide because of the widespread use of this gene in breeding (Jin et al., 2008). Nearly all Kenyan germplasm are known to be susceptible or partially susceptible to Ug99 (Njau et al., 2009). The stem rust resistance gene Sr36 confers a near-immune resistance reaction to many races of Stem rust and is highly effective against race TTKSK, which possesses unusually broad virulence combinations. Because this gene is widely used in United States soft winter wheat germplasm and cultivars, it has been considered to be an important source of resistance to TTKSK (Jin et al., 2009).

The spread of Ua99 race group of stem rust in Eastern and Southern Africa and beyond has brought back stem rust research and development activities back onto the international wheat improvement agenda under the BGRI (Singh et al., 2015). Currently, the research of stem rust in wheat is focusing on identifying further resistance genes to control Ug99 and its derivatives (Haile and Roder, 2013). Despite the identification and deployment of a number of rust resistance genes to protect wheat crops, the emergence of virulent pathogen pathotypes can restrict their durability and use (Pathan and Park, 2006). Therefore resistance in wheat varieties has to be constantly improved to avoid having susceptible genotypes in production. Genetic improvement to minimize yield loss under disease is an attractive goal, as it exerts little or no selection pressure on pathogen populations, and could form a useful component of durable disease management programmes (Bingham et al., 2009). Because of this, there is a constant need to identify, characterize and deploy new sources of resistance (Pathan and Park, 2006). With world population increasing, food security is projected to become more critical; therefore increasing wheat yield potential in the developing world remains a high priority (Duveiller et al., 2007). Breeding resistant wheat varieties that have superior yields than currently grown popular varieties is the best (Singh et al., 2011).

MATERIALS AND METHODS

Seedling stage experiment

Experimental genotypes

The genotypes were made up of forty five advanced wheat lines and five local checks of the commonly grown varieties (Table 1). The advanced lines are mainly selection from the CIMMYT durable resistance rust nursery. The CIMMYT germplasms are used in Kenya for breeding to develop varieties that are resistant. The genotypes are selected continuously over seasons and tested both in Kenya and Mexico. The advanced lines were selected from CIMMYT lines that showed promising traits for both yield and stem rust resistance.

Inoculum preparation for seedling stage resistance

The inoculum used was collected from the trap nurseries of KALRO Njoro usually in the evening when it was cold. The trap nurseries were planted using the highly susceptible variety *Cacuke* for high amounts of Urediniospores used for inoculation. The trap nurseries were planted early before the main crop. It contained a bulk of Urediniospores of the common two races of *TTKST* and *TTKSK*. The inoculum was made up of a mixture of pathotypes for both *TTKST* and *TTKSK* stem rust races occurring in Kenya. The inoculum measured was based on the amount of spore number per unit dilute spores in a 1:1 mixture (Table 1).

Seedling stage experiment

The experiment conducted in the greenhouse was at the Kenya

Genotype	Source	Pedigree/selection history
KSL1	CIMMYT	SERI1/CHIBIA/4/BAV92//IRENA/KAUZ/3/HUITES
KSL15	CIMMYT	WBLL1*2/BRAMBLING/5/BABAX LR42//BABAX*2/4/
		SNI/TRAP31/3KAUZ*2/TRAP//KAUZ
KSL16	CIMMYT	WBLL1*2/BRAMBLING/5/BABAX/ LR42// BABAX*2/4
		/SNI/TRAP#1/3/KAUZ*2/TRAP//KAUZ
KSL17	CIMMYT	BABAX/LR42//BABAX*2/4/SNI/TRAP#1/3/KAUZ*2/TRAP
		KAUZ/5/WBLL1*2/TUKURU
KSL19	CIMMYT	WBLL1*2/TUKURU/7/CNDO/ R143/ENTE/MEXI_2/3//
		AEGILOPSSQUARROSATAUS)/4/WEAVER/5/2*
		KAUZ/6/FRET2
KSL21	CIMMYT	BW343*2/KUKUNA/3/ SERI//BAV92
KSL22	CIMMYT	PBW343*2/KUKUNA/3/ PGO/SERI//BAV92
KSL13	CIMMYT	QUAIU/5/FRET2*2/4/SNI/TRAP#1/3 /KAUZ*2/TRAP//KAUZ
KSL14	CIMMYT	QUAIU/5/FRET2*2/4/SNI/TRAP#1/3/KAUZ*2/TRAP//KAUZ
KSL28	CIMMYT	KFA/5/2*KAUZ//ALTAR84/ AOS/3
KSL29	CIMMYT	TUKURU//BAV92/RAYON*2/3/JUCHI
KSL31	CIMMYT	UP2338*2/KKTS*2//YANAC
KSL32	CIMMYT	UP2338*2/KKTS*2//YANAC
KSL33	CIMMYT	UP2338*2/KKTS*2//YANAC
KSL37	CIMMYT	CAL/NH//H567.71/3/SERI/4/CAL/NH//H567.71/5/2*KAUZ/6/
		PASTOR/7/YANAC/8/CAL/ NH//H567.71/3/SERI/4/CAL/NH /
		/H567.71/5/2*KAUZ/6/PASTOR
		CAL/NH//H567.71/3/SERI/4/CAL/H567.71/5/2*KAUZ//PASTOR
KSL40	CIMMYT	/7/YANAC/8/CAL/NH//H567.71/3/SERI/4/CALNH//H567.71/5/2*
		KAUZ/PASTOR
KSL46	CIMMYT	TACUPETOF2001/6/CNDO/R143/ENTE/MEXI_2/3/AEGILOPS
		SQUARROSA (TAUS)/4/WEAVER/5/PASTOR/7/ROLF07
KSL47	CIMMYT	TACUPETO01/6/CNDO/R/R143//ENTE/MEXI2/3/AEGILOPS
		SQUARROSA(TAUS)/4/WEAVER/5/PASTOR/7/ROLF07
KSL48	CIMMYT	TACUPETO01/6/CNDO/R/R143//ENTE/MEXI2/3/AEGILOPS
		SQUARROSA(TAUS)/4/WEAVER/5/PASTOR/7/ROLF07
KSL50	CIMMYT	WBLL1*2/4/BABAX/LR42//BABAX/3/BABAX/LR42//
		BABAX
KSL51	CIMMYT	KSW/7/CAL/NH//H567.71/3/SERI/4/CAL/NH//H567.71/5/2
		KAUZ/6/PASTOR/8/CAL/NH//H567.71/3 SERI/4/CAL/NH
		//H567.71/5/2*KAUZ/6/PASTOR
KSL53	CIMMYT	TILILA/JUCHI/4/SERI.1B// KAUZ/HEVO/3/AMAD
KSL54	CIMMYT	28th SAWSN /09
KSL57	CIMMYT	C 30 SAWSN 2010
KSL59	CIMMYT	C 30 SAWSN 2010
KSL42	CIMMYT	FRANCOLIN #1/KIRITATI
KSL44	CIMMYT	BABAX/LR42//BABAX*2/3/ KUKUNA/4/TAM200/
		PASTOR//TOBA97
KSL52	CIMMYT	KENYANYANGUMI/3/2*KAUZ/PASTOR//PBW343
KSL58	CIMMYT	C 30 SAWSN 2010
KSL63	CIMMYT	4th SRRSN 2010
KSL69	CIMMYT	Ethiopia 2010
KSL71	CIMMYT	SOUTHAFRICAN BETHLEHEM2010
KSL72	CIMMYT	4th SRRSN 2010
KSL73	CIMMYT	Bangladesh 2010

 Table 1. Description of bread wheat (Triticum aestivum L.) genotypes used in the experiment.

KSL76	CIMMYT	K.YOMBI/R1066
KSL81	CIMMYT	NJBW/CHIRIKU
KSL115	CIMMYT	R1071/MBUNI
KSL118	CIMMYT	R1075/KWALE
KSL126	CIMMYT	R1089/R1069
KSL137	CIMMYT	K8676/NJBWII
KSL142	CIMMYT	KONGONI/1083
KSL144	CIMMYT	KWALE/ZABADI
KSL146	CIMMYT	PAKA/R8665
KSL156	CIMMYT	RWAPT60/MBUNI
KSL161	CIMMYT	R960/R1088
Checks	KALRO	
Korongo ^a	KALRO	
Kingbird	KALRO	
Eagle10 ^a	KALRO	
Robin ^a	KALRO	
Wren ^a	KALRO	

Table	1.	Contd.
-------	----	--------

KSL: Kenyan Selection; CIMMYT, Center for Maize and Wheat Improvement; a: commonly grown varieties.

Agricultural Livestock and Research Organization (KALRO) Njoro. Fifty pots of 5 cm diameter each filled with a potting media (Hygromix) were used for planting ten seeds of the genotypes. The pots were placed in a plastic tray of ten pots each. The inoculated plants were air dried for half an hour. The pots were then placed in the growth chamber and removed after ten days for inoculation. The inoculum prepared before containing a bulk of the stem rust races mainly TTKST and TTKSK was sprayed on the genotypes and local checks using a hand sprayer. The pots were then kept in a dark humidity chamber for 48 h before taking them to the incubation chamber.In the incubation chamber the pots were left until spores started forming for data collection. Data collection was done fourteen days after inoculation when most of the leaves showed infection. To test for resistance the experiment was repeated five times in the greenhouse and data collected was used to determine which genotypes had resistance.

Data collection

Assessment was done to show which genotypes were consistent for low levels of infection types. The genotypes were scored following a scale of 0-4 according to Stakman et al. (1962) as described below. The numbers indicate the infection type while the host response is described as immune to very susceptible as follows; 0=immune, ; = nearly immune, 1=very resistant, 2=moderately resistant, X, Y, Z= heterogenous types,3=moderately susceptible and 4=susceptible. All data was collected and compared for consistency for the seedling stage resistance.

Field experiment

Experimental locations

The experimental locations used were established at three Locations: namely Mau-Narok, Njoro and Lanet.Kenya Agricultural livestock and Research organization (KALRO), situated at Njoro location with an altitude of 2185 meters above sea level (masl), average annual rainfall of 935 mm and minimum and maximum

temperatures of 9.7°C and maximum of 23.5°C, respectively. Agricultural Development Corporation (ADC) Enchili farm Mau-Narokis situated at Mau-Narok location with an average annual rainfall of 752 mm, an altitude of 2900 masl and an annual rainfall range of 1,200 to 1,400 mm, minimum and maximum temperatures ranges of 6 to 14°C and 22 to 26°C, respectively. Kenya Plant Health Inspectorate Service (KEPHIS) Lanet is situated at Dundori location, 1920 masl with a minimum temperature of 10°C and maximum temperature of 26°C and annual rainfall of 800 mm.

Experimental procedure

Land preparation was done with one plough and two harrows for all the three locations to obtain fine seedbed. The trial design at all the three locations was an alpha lattice of 5 blocks with 10 plots within blocks and replicated three times and plot sizes were 1m by 2m. Spacing was 20 cm between rows by drill. Planting was done by hand in all the three locations. The genotypes were mainly fifty wheat advanced genotypes selected from CIMMYT nursery including five checks of the commonly grown commercial varieties. The genotypes were tested for resistance to stem rust under natural infection. Genotypes possessing Sr24 genes with susceptibility to TTKST were used as a spreader. Four rows of the Sr24 susceptible genotypes used as spreader were planted around the experimental plot and between replicates. A seed rate of 125 kg ha⁻¹ which amounts to 25 g⁻¹ plot and 5 g⁻¹ for 5 rows in a plot was used. During planting fertilizer was applied at the rate of 22 kg of N ha⁻¹ and 25 kg of P ha⁻¹. At five weeks after planting nitrogen was top dressed at the rate of 32 kg of Nha⁻¹. Weed control was done using Hussar evolution herbicide at the rate of 0.15 ml 1m⁻². Scoring of stem rust was done when 50% of the susceptible spreader genotypes had been affected. Scoring was done three times across all the locations after twelve days and ten days from the first reading and second reading respectively.

Data collection

Data on diseases severity were scored following the modified Cobb

scale as described by Peterson et al. (1948). Cobbs scale key of 0.37 representing 1% of the actual affected tissue by disease to 37.0 represented 100% leaves covered by pustules. The percentages indicated the infection type used to determine the disease severity of 0-100%. The host response was assessed as described in Roelfs et al. (1992). The adult plant response to infection in the field was scored using 'R' indicating resistance, 'MR' indicating moderate resistance, 'MS' indicating moderately susceptible, 'S' indicating full susceptibility. The overlapping responses between two categories scored as 'M' were indicatedusing a slash between the two which was MR/MS.

Yield and thousand kernel weight

Grain yield⁻¹ plot of the entire experimental plots was weighed in grams and converted to tones ha⁻¹ for all the plots in the three locations having a total of 450 data entries. The weight of thousand kernels of grains harvested from each experimental plot was also measured. The thousand kernel weight was a yield component.

Data analyses

The Area under the Disease Progress Curve (AUDPC) was calculated for all the forty five elite genotypes and five local checks according to the formula of Shaner and Finney (1977):

ith observation, n= total number of observations. Analysis of variance was used to find the mean values of AUDPC using SAS version 8.02 (SAS/STAT software 1999). The experimental model is shown below:

 $Y_{ijkl} = \mu + G_i + R_k + L_j + B_{l(k)} +$

I=1... 5, Yijk-overall response of the GLii+ εiikl

j= 1...3 k=1..3 i=1...50

genotypes

 μ - the overall mean, Gi-effect due to the ith genotype in the kth replicate and Ith block B_(k)- effect of the Ith block in the kth replicate, R_k- effect due to kth replicate, L_i- effect due to jth location, GL_i- interaction between the ith genotype, ith location and Eijkl- random error component.

Analysis for stability of the genotypes done using the variance for a genotype across environments (S_i^2) was used to determine the most stable genotype on disease across the three locations using the formula described by Francis and Kannenberg (1978), $S_i^2 = \Sigma$ ⁻)²/q-1, (

Where S_i^2 is the variance for a genotype across environments, q= number of locations, x_{ij}= is the observed mean of the genotype,⁻ =the mean of the genotype in the three locations. The Coefficient of Variation of each genotype (CVi) was used to determine the most stable line on disease and yield across the three locations using formula described by Francis and Kannenberg (1978), CV-SV-×100

Where CV_i is the Coefficient of variation of each genotype in percentage, S_i is the standard deviation for each genotype, is the mean of the genotype i across locations. The correlation coefficient r between yield and AUDPC and

between yield and final disease severity was calculated following

the formula of Mead et al. (1993).

RESULTS

Seedling stage resistance experiment

Variation was observed among the genotypes for seedling stage infection after a repeated score of five times (Table 2). From the results considering top 25 genotypes (Table 2), the genotypes with small sized Uredinia surrounded by necrosis were very resistant and these were genotypes KSL50, 31, 44, 54, 51, 156, 81 and KSL33. The Uredinia that were medium often being surrounded by chlorosis or necrosis were moderately resistant; they are genotype KSL144, 115, 146, 69, 76, 161, 53, 137, 37, 52, 17 and KSL 57.On the other hand genotype KSL 142, 71, 72 and KSL73 Medium Uredinia and chlorosis were moderately susceptible. Large Uredinia without chlorosis were susceptible. The best performing genotypes at seedling stage resistance were entry KSL 144 (2+), 50 (1+), 31 (1+), 44 (1+), 115 (2+), 146 (2+), 69 (2+) and 76 (2+) (Table 3). The percentage of the very resistant genotypes at seedling stage of the best performing twenty four genotypes was 32% compared to the rest at 44% of moderately resistant and 24% for moderately susceptible.

Field experiment

5

Performance of genotypes across location

The area under the disease progress curve values ranged from KSL 142 (28.9) for the best performing genotypes to KSL 42 (1085) which was the worst (Table 4). The lowest values were for the most resistant varieties and highest values for the most resistant. The final disease severity values showed the best genotype having the lowest and worst having the highest at KSL 142 (2.8%) to KSL 42 (80%). The diseases severity progressed as the growth of plant increased the first had low disease levels by the third reading the levels increased. Under natural infestation Mau-Narok crop had most of the stems and leaves with a lot of Urediniospores at 80% for the worst genotype KSL 42 compared to Njoro at 73% and Lanet 56.7% for the three locations. The genotypes had the lowest at 10% in Mau-Narok and 0% Njoro and Lanet. For the AUDPC values Mau-Narok had 1080 for KSL 42, with Njoro at 1040 and Lanet at 916.1 as the worst performing genotype.

The analysis of variance for Area Under Disease Progress Curve (AUDPC), Final Disease Severity (FDS), yield and 1000-kernal weight was performed using SAS version 8.02 (SAS/STAT software 1999). The ANOVA for AUDPC revealed variation among the genotypes and locations (Table 5). The locations were significantly different in performance at P<0.05; the genotypes were

Genotypes	Seedling infection types	Host response
KSL142	3+	Moderately susceptible
KSL71	3+	Moderately susceptible
KSL144	2+	Moderately resistant
KSL50	1;	Very resistant
KSL31	1;	Very resistant
KSL44	1+	Very resistant
KSL115	2+	Moderately resistant
KSL146	2+	Moderately resistant
KSL69	2+	Moderately resistant
KSL76	2+	Moderately resistant
KSL161	2+	Moderately resistant
KSL53	2+	Moderately resistant
KSL73	3+	Moderately susceptible
KSL54	1+	Very resistant
KSL51	1+	Very resistant
KSL156	1+	Very resistant
KSL81	1+	Very resistant
KSL137	2+	Moderately resistant
KSL 37	2+	Moderately resistant
KSL72	3+	Moderately susceptible
KSL52	2+	Moderately resistant
KSL33	1+	Very resistant
KSL17	2+	Moderately resistant
KSL57	2+	Moderately resistant
Checks		
Kingbird ^a	2+	Moderately resistant
Eagle 10 ^a	1+	Very resistant
Korongo ^a	3+	Moderately susceptible
Kenya Wren ^a	3+	Moderately susceptible
Robin ^a	3+	Moderately susceptible

Table 2. Seedling stage resistance for the top twenty four selected Kenyan wheat genotypes based on the AUDPC values from the three locations of Mau-Narok, Njoro and Lanet.

KSL: Kenyan Selection KEY: 1=very resistant, 2=moderately resistant 3=moderately susceptible and 4=susceptible act checks.

also significant. The Analysis of Variance (ANOVA) detected significant relationships between location and FDS (Final Disease Severity) at P<0.05, P<0.01 and P<0.001 being highly significant. Mau-Narok had the highest mean at 35.7%, Lanet 23.9% and Njoro at 23.3%. There was also a highly significant relationship between genotype and FDS with KSL 142, 71 and 144 having high resistance levels to disease as compared to the other genotypes. The genotype and location interaction for FDS was highly significant with the genotypes KSL 142,

71, 115, 146 and 69 having performed well overall across the three locations.

The same case applied to the AUDPC across the location which was highly significant at P<0.05, P<0.01

and P<0.001 with Mau-Narok having the highest mean at 363.18 followed by Njoro at 326.87 and Lanet at 231.95. The genotype and AUDPC relationship was highly significant with less consistency in performance among most of the genotypes. The genotype and location interaction was highly significant with the genotypes with low values in one location having low values across all the three locations with Mau-Narok having consistently higher AUDPC values compared to Njoro and Lanet.

Stem rust disease effect on the genotype yield and thousand kernel weight (TKW)

The grain yield relationship between location and yield

Source of variation	d.f	FDS	AUDPC	YIELD	ТКѠ
Rep	2	5068.95**	41719.79**	6832.39***	0.000169***
Location	2	7275.17***	688756.54***	2436705.46***	0.001141***
Block (rep)	12	15044.1***	241179.9***	8313.02***	0.0002228*
Genotype	49	3046.92***	510471.46***	14311.70***	0 .0001528
Genotype*Location	98	27821.88***	33016.39***	12184.86*	0.000098**
Error	10.0	9.17	111.33	64.692	0.0085737
CV		33.2	36.22	54.57	34.2
R ²		0.89954	0.90194	0.852	0.51773

Table 3. Mean squares derived from analysis of variance for stem rust disease resistance and yield components of wheat genotypes.

*, **, *** represent significance at P < 0.05,P < 0.01 and P < 0.001 respectively, d. f, degrees of freedom; FDS, Final Disease Severity; AUDPC, Area Under Disease Progress Curve, TKW, Thousand Kernel Weight.

was highly significant at P<0.05, P<0.01 and P<0.001, the highest mean yield for the genotypes was at Mau-Narok with 2.82 t ha⁻¹ followed by Njoro 1.27 t ha⁻¹ and then Lanet 0.51482 t ha⁻¹.Genotype and grain relationship was highly significant with variations from one location to the other. In Mau-Narok the highest grain yield was obtained by KSL 137 (2.63 t ha⁻¹), 31 (2.52 t ha⁻¹), 50 (2.46 t ha⁻¹) and KSL 33 (1.98 t ha⁻¹). The same genotypes performed well in Njoro and Lanet. The interaction between genotype and location for yield was highly significant with Mau-Narok reporting the highest grain yield per genotype. Njoro had better grain yield per genotype with Lanet having less grain yield per genotype.

Genotypic performance for TKW showed no significant genotypic variation under stem rust infection. For example genotype KSL 50, 31, 44, 115, 146 and 69 had high TKW in terms of overall genotype performance but not significant at P<0.05, P<0.01 or P<0.001.The interaction between genotype and location for TKW was only significant at P<.0.05 and P<0.01 not significant at P<0.001. Njoro appeared to positively interact with genotypes giving high values. On the other hand Mau-Narok some genotypes with high and others low but slightly lower general mean of 0.2555 than Njoro of 0.0274. The thousand kernel weight was not significant at P<0.05 for genotype, there were no variations from one genotype to the other. There were highly significant differences for location and thousand kernel weight at P<0.05, P<0.01 and P<0.001.

There was a negative relationship between disease severity, progress and yield while using the AUDPC and Final Disease Severity values. The more the disease pressure the lower the yields across the study locations of Mau-Narok, Njoro and Lanet.

Adult plant response to infection for the genotypes in the three locations

In Lanet the genotypes that had a resistant (R) reaction

to stem rust were KSL 142, 71 and 144. The ones possessing a moderately resistant (MR) reaction were genotypes KSL 161, 69, 50, 156, 81, 137 and 57. The genotypes with moderately resistant to moderately susceptible (M) were KSL 44, 115, 146, 76, 53, 73, 54, 51, 72, 33 and 17 (Table 6). The genotype with moderately susceptible reaction (MS) was 52. In Njoro the genotypes that had a resistant reaction were KSL 71, 50, 31, 115 and 137. Genotypes possessing moderately resistant reaction were KSL 142, 144, 81, 44, 37 and 57. The genotypes with moderately resistant to moderately susceptible were KSL 146, 69, 76, 53, 54, 51, 156, 72, 33 and 17. The genotypes KSL 52 were moderately susceptible. In Mau-Narok most of the genotypes showed a moderately susceptible reaction which were genotypes KSL 69, 76, 161, 53, 53, 73, 54, 37, 72, 52, 33, 17 and 57. The genotypes with moderately resistant to moderately susceptible were KSL 142, 71, 144, 31, 115, 146, 156 and 137. The genotypes with moderately resistant reaction were KSL 50, 44 and 51.

Genotypic stability

The Coefficient of Variation (CV_i) and Variance (S²_i) identified stable genotypes across the three locations. Generally stable genotypes had lower values of CV_i and S_{i}^{2} compared to those that were less stable (Table 7). Amongst the genotypes the most stable were KSL 69, 161, 54 and 156 with less than 20% coefficient of variation values. While the most unstable had higher values which were KSL 137, 44 and 76 among the top twenty four. Genotype KSL 21, 58, 42 and 16 were the least stable. The values were directly proportional to each other; when the variance increased the coefficient of variation also increased. The yield data show that the genotypes were very unstable, the CV_i percentage ranged from 42.93 to 98.8% which are far from the acceptable 20%. Although, lines KSL 142, 71, 144, 50, 31 44, 115 and 146 had relatively low stability.

AUDPC					Final dise	ase severity	/	
Genotype	Lanet	Njoro	Mau- Narok	Means	Lanet	Njoro	Mau-Narok	Means
KSL142	0.000	25.80	60.80	28.90	0.00	0.00	8.30	2.80
KSL71	75.00	5.800	27.50	36.10	5.00	1.70	3.30	3.30
KSL144	0.000	25.80	82.50	36.10	0.00	0.00	10.0	3.30
KSL50	11.70	0.000	110.0	40.60	5.00	0.00	15.0	6.70
KSL31	60.80	5.800	137.5	68.10	8.30	1.70	16.7	8.90
KSL44	33.30	31.70	141.7	68.90	5.00	1.70	13.0	6.50
KSL115	33.30	170.0	72.50	91.90	5.00	0.00	11.7	8.90
KSL146	76.70	63.30	165.8	101.9	8.30	3.30	11.7	7.80
KSL69	98.30	112.5	140.0	116.9	11.6	10.0	11.7	11.1
KSL76	45.00	96.70	211.7	117.8	8.30	8.30	33.3	16.7
KSL161	88.30	213.3	66.70	122.8	11.7	13.3	10.0	11.7
KSL53	94.30	152.5	165.0	137.2	13.3	5.00	21.7	13.3
KSL73	17.50	258.3	151.7	142.5	5.00	20.0	13.3	12.8
KSL54	110.0	217.5	131.7	153.1	13.3	11.7	15.0	13.3
KSL51	215.0	69.20	180.8	155.0	16.7	5.00	23.3	12.8
KSL156	167.0	130.0	169.0	155.6	16.7	11.7	16.7	15.0
KSL81	141.0	76.70	267.5	161.9	15.0	10.0	30.0	18.3
KSL137	66.70	5.800	438.3	170.3	10.0	1.70	50.0	20.1
KSL 37	88.30	245.0	204.2	179.2	11.7	15.0	28.3	18.3
KSL72	120.0	221.7	296.5	212.8	13.3	11.7	40.0	21.7
KSL52	157.5	154.2	351.7	221.2	16.7	10.0	43.3	23.3
KSL33	82.50	167.5	416.7	222.2	10.0	16.7	50.0	25.6
KSL17	120.0	171.7	385.0	225.6	13.3	23.3	46.7	27.8
KSL57	100.0	290.8	328.3	239.7	15.0	11.7	40.0	22.2
Checks								
Kingbird	280.0	295.0	177.5	250.8	23.3	8.30	13.0	14.9
Eagle 10	480.0	398.0	225.0	367.8	33.3	32.3	16.0	27.2
Korongo	698.3	686.7	395.0	593.3	53.3	28.3	53.3	45.0
Kenya Wren	530.0	745.0	623.3	632.8	50.0	53.3	70.0	57.8
Robin	875.8	970.0	1093	979.7	45.0	80.0	80.0	68.3
Means	231.95	326.87	363.18	307.33	23.9	23.3	35.7	27.6
CV%	36.22				CV%	36.212		

Table 4. Area Under Disease Progress Curve (AUDPC) and Final Disease Severity means for the best twenty four genotypes in the three locations.

LSD 0.05 between locations 25.3; LSD 0.05 between locations 2.09; LSD 0.05 within locations 103.3; LSD 0.05 within locations8.513; KSL: Kenyan Selection, ^{a:} Local checks.

Correlation between yield, AUDPC and final disease severity

DISCUSSION

Seedling stage resistance

The correlation coefficient (r) for AUDPC and grain yield was found to be - 0.943, while coefficient of determination (r^2) was 0.890 (Figure 1). Similarly Final Disease Severity and yield r was -0.84 and r^2 was 0.0705 (Figure 2). The r value revealed a strong negative relationship between yield and AUDPC and also for yield and FDS within the linear model explaining 84% of the variation relationship. For the yield and FDS relationship 70.5% was explained.

In the seedling stage resistance 84% of the top twenty four genotypes had adequate resistance levels of 1+ and 2+ for infection types and being very resistant and moderately susceptible. Seedling resistance according to Pathan and Park (2006) by comparison, is effective at all growth stages. As suggested by GRDC, (2012) protection at the seedling stage is provided by 'major' or seedling

Grain yield in t	ain yield in t/ha Thousand Kernel Weight in grams							
Genotype	Lanet	Njoro	Mau-Narok	Means	Lanet	Njoro	Mau-Narok	Means
KSL 142	0.642	2.19	0.992	1.28	0.0260	0.0263	0.0357	0.0270
KSL 71	0.537	1.01	2.46	1.33	0.0270	0.0330	0.0340	0.0269
KSL 144	0.569	1.65	1.70	1.31	0.0220	0.0287	0.0363	0.0290
KSL 50	0.570	2.19	4.63	2.46	0.0273	0.0340	0.0297	0.0303
KSL 31	0.774	2.18	4.63	2.52	0.0343	0.0320	0.0330	0.0331
KSL 44	0.625	1.93	2.96	1.84	0.0227	0.0250	0.0240	0.0290
KSL115	0.255	1.43	2.10	1.26	0.0247	0.0270	0.0343	0.0287
KSL 146	0.700	1.70	2.03	1.48	0.0290	0.0273	0.0283	0.0282
KSL 69	0.352	1.20	2.12	1.22	0.0223	0.0337	0.0180	0.0276
KSL 76	0.434	1.96	2.48	1.63	0.0230	0.0297	0.0297	0.0274
KSL 161	0.607	1.82	2.84	1.76	0.0263	0.0356	0.0193	0.0271
KSL 53	0.600	1.38	4.79	2.26	0.0223	0.0310	0.0280	0.0271
KSL 73	0.375	1.57	3.19	1.71	0.0253	0.0300	0.0260	0.0210
KSL 54	0.834	2.01	2.10	1.65	0.0247	0.0290	0.0270	0.0269
KSL 51	0.550	1.86	1.98	1.46	0.0193	0.0267	0.0330	0.0263
KSL 156	0.424	1.59	3.32	1.78	0.0243	0.0293	0.0250	0.0262
KSL 81	0.227	1.37	1.63	1.08	0.0217	0.0287	0.0270	0.0258
KSL 137	0.844	2.01	5.03	2.63	0.0260	0.0263	0.0350	0.0210
KSL 37	0.255	1.04	2.75	1.36	0.0190	0.0263	0.0313	0.0200
KSL 72	0.312	1.29	3.44	1.68	0.0200	0.0270	0.0287	0.0252
KSL 52	0.514	1.61	1.62	1.26	0.0223	0.0260	0.0267	0.0250
KSL17	0.600	1.02	3.69	1.77	0.0213	0.0277	0.0214	0.0241
KSL33	1.161	1.29	3.82	1.98	0.0207	0.0257	0.0283	0.0249
KSL57	0.290	1.39	1.74	1.14	0.0190	0.0250	0.0277	0.0239
Checks								
Korongo	0.480	2.18	3.20	1.96	0.0257	0.0220	0.0183	0.0220
Kingbird ^a	0.480	0.87	3.16	1.51	0.0187	0.0247	0.0327	0.0253
Kenya wren ^a	0.485	0.25	2.79	1.45	0.0223	0.0267	0.0267	0.0240
Eagle 10 ^a	1.200	1.09	2.40	1.28	0.0130	0.0313	0.0160	0.0228
Robin ^a Means	1.140 0.514	1.09 1.27	1.24 2 .82	1.16 1.53	0.0203 0.0220	0.0290 0.0274	0.0230 0.0255	0.0218 0.0250

Table 5. Grain yield per plot in t/ha for the three locations and thousand kernel weights of the best performing twenty four genotypes.

LSD 0.05 between locations 0.188; LSD 0.05 between locations 0.0019; LSD 0.05 within locations 0.769; LSD 0.05 within locations 0.079; KSL: Kenyan Selection, ^{a:} Local checks.

Table 6. Adult Host response for the genotypes across the three locations.

Genotype	Lanet	Njoro	Mau-Narok
KSL 142	R	MR	MR/MS
KSL 71	R	R	MR/MS
KSL 144	R	MR	MR/MS
KSL 50	MR	R	MR
KSL 31	MR/MS	R	MR/MS
KSL 44	MR/MS	MR	MR
KSL 115	MR/MS	R	MR/MS
KSL 146	MR/MS	MR/MS	MR/MS
KSL 69	MR	MR/MS	MS
KSL 76	MR/MS	MR/MS	MS

KSL 161MRMRMSKSL 53MR/MSMR/MSMSKSL 73MR/MSMRMSKSL 54MR/MSMR/MSMSKSL 51MR/MSMR/MSMRKSL 156MRMR/MSMR/MSKSL 81MRMRMS
KSL 53MR/MSMR/MSMSKSL 73MR/MSMRMSKSL 54MR/MSMR/MSMSKSL 51MR/MSMR/MSMRKSL 156MRMR/MSMR/MSKSL 81MRMRMS
KSL 73MR/MSMRMSKSL 54MR/MSMR/MSMSKSL 51MR/MSMR/MSMRKSL 156MRMR/MSMR/MSKSL 81MRMRMS
KSL 54MR/MSMR/MSMSKSL 51MR/MSMR/MSMRKSL 156MRMR/MSMR/MSKSL 81MRMRMS
KSL 51MR/MSMR/MSMRKSL 156MRMR/MSMR/MSKSL 81MRMRMS
KSL 156MRMR/MSMR/MSKSL 81MRMRMS
KSL 81 MR MR MS
KSL 137 MR R MR/MS
KSL 37 MR/MS MR MS
KSL 72 MR/MS MR/MS MS
KSL 52 MS MS MS
KSL 33 MR/MS MR/MS MS
KSL 17 MR/MS MR/MS MS
KSL 57 MR MR MS
Checks
Kingbird MR MR MR/MS
Korongo MR/MS MS MSS
Eagle 10 MR/MS MR/MS MS
Kenya Wren MS MR/MS MS
Robin MSS MSS S

Γable	e 6.	Contd.
-------	------	--------

R-Resistant, MR- Moderately Resistant, MR/MS- Moderately Resistant to Moderately Susceptible, MS-Moderately Susceptible, MSS- Moderately susceptible to Susceptible, S-Susceptible.

resistance genes, which have much larger effect and often provide complete resistance at all growth stages.

ANOVA for the four parameters AUDPC, FDS, TKW and yield

There was a highly significant genotype and location interaction for FDS and AUDPC (P<0.001), for yield it was only significant at P<0.05. As illustrated by Finlay and Wilkinson, (1963) that adaptability has proved to be of particular importance, because edaphic variation between localities and the seasonal variation in any one locality are very great. Thus the mean values for Mau-Narok were slightly high for AUDPC at 363.18 much higher than Lanet but comparable to Njoro at 231.97 and 326.57 respectively. Genotype KSL 142, 71, 144, 50, 31 and 44 showed resistance to stem rust disease across the three locations. At Mau-Narok all the genotypes had high disease severity levels.

Grain yield mean for the three locations also had variations with Mau-Narok at 2.82 t/ha, Njoro 1.27 t/ha and Lanet 0.514 t/ha (Table 5). Mohammadi, et al. (2012) established that grain yield in wheat is frequently the sink limited, and for this reason, the 1000 kernel weight has been reported as a promising trait for increasing grain yield in wheat under different conditions. The TKW

showed less variation among the genotypes except for location. The grain yield values showed consistency with the genotypes performance across the locations. From the ANOVA the grain yield data identified KSL 137 at 2.63 t ha⁻¹, KSL 31 2.52 t ha⁻¹, KSL 50 2.46 t ha⁻¹ and KSL 53 2.63 t ha⁻¹ as the best performing across the three locations. The AUDPC was expressed in %-days (accumulation of daily percent infection values) and interpreted directly without transformation. The higher the AUDPC, the more susceptible was the genotype as verified by Ali et al. (2012). There was also a correspondence between genotype susceptiblity and AUDPC showing that the most susceptible recorded higher AUDPC values.

Genotype by environment (location) interaction for the three locations

There were variations among the three locations which revealed the genotypes KSL 137, 54, 31, 146, 44, 161, 17 and KSL 53 having good grain yield performance in Lanet. Genotypes KSL 142, 50, 31, 54, 137, 76, 44, 51, 161 and 146 performed well in Njoro. Genotype KSL 137, 50, 31, 44, 53, 33, 17, 156, 72 and 161 were the best performing in Mau-Narok. As stated by Yan (2002) that the measured yield of each cultivar in each test

Genotype	FDS Si ²	FDSCV i	YieldSi ²	YieldCVi
KSL142	3.630	31.10	0.664	63.86
KSL71	2.730	49.50	0.998	74.90
KSL 144	8.300	43.50	0.409	48.90
KSL50	39.96	81.10	4.160	82.80
KSL31	56.52	84.20	3.750	77.20
KSL44	35.70	89.60	1.370	63.60
KSL115	12.13	38.20	0.873	74.10
KSL146	17.32	55.00	0.478	46.80
KSL69	0.963	8.800	0.778	72.20
KSL76	108.3	86.57	1.130	65.50
KSL161	2.860	14.90	1.250	63.78
KSL53	69.70	62.60	4.990	98.85
KSL73	56.50	58.74	2.008	82.76
KSL54	2.730	12.38	0.052	42.93
KSL51	85.89	62 .00	0.626	54.17
KSL156	7.330	18.24	2.120	82.08
KSL81	108.3	56.70	0.556	69.37
KSL137	433.3	96.70	4.658	82.16
KSL37	166.5	70.36	0.873	74.10
KSL72	252.7	73.38	2.550	95.20
KSL52	310.2	75.42	0.404	50.94
KSL33	458.9	83.70	2.550	80.80
KSL17	371.9	78.99	2.821	98.80
KSL57	239.5	69.71	0.573	66.37
checks				
Kingbird	58.30	50.00	2.696	96.20
Eagle10 ^a	91.85	34.50	1.160	84.30
Korongo ^a	203.3	32.00	1.887	70.34
Kenya Wren ^a	114.9	18.50	1.420	82.30
Robin ^a	408.3	29.56	1.420	6.730

Table 7. Coefficient of Variation (CV_i) and variance (S_i^2) for the top twenty four genotypes based on the FDS values and yield.

KSL; Kenyan selection, FDS: Final Disease Severity, S_i^{2:}: Variance, CV_i: Coefficient of Variation, KSL: Kenyan Selection, ^{a:} Local checks.

environment is a mixture of environment main effect (E) genotype main effect (G) and genotype and environment (GE).

The TKW values were related to yield as the same genotypes tended to have a slightly higher weight than the ones with low yields for example genotypes KSL 50, 31, 44, 115, 144, 142, 146, 69 and 76 although not applicable to a few of the high yielders such as KSL 137. According to Yan (2002) that typically E explains most (up to 80% or higher) of the total yield variation and G and GE are usually small. The environments showed that wheat grain yield was significantly affected by environment as in the case of Mau-Narok reporting greater grain yields. Mohamed (2013) added that the large yield variation explained by environments indicated that the environments were diverse, with large

differences between environmental means contributing most of the variation in grain yield.

Seedling and adult stage resistance of the genotypes

Seedling and adult stage resistance genes as explained by Morgounov et al. (2010) in wheat fall under two broad categories and are referred to as seedling and adult plant resistance (APR) genes. Seedling resistance genes are detected during both the seedling and adult plant stages and as such constitute an all stage resistance phenotype. APR is commonly detected at the post-seedling stage and often as field resistance.

Therefore the genotypes that had seedling stage reflected well with resistance in the field. The genotypes



Figure 1. Relationship between AUDPC and genotype yield in the three locations of Mau-Narok, Njoro and Lanet.



Figure 2. Relationship between Final Disease Severity and genotype yield in the three locations of Mau-Narok, Njoro and Lanet.

that posed both seedling and adult stage resistance were KSL 144 (2+), 50 (1+), 31 (1+), 44 (1+), 115 (2+), 146 (2+), 69 (2+) and 76 (2+) based on the AUDPC and Final Disease Severity values. According to Wang et al. (2005) all genotypes with APR showed lower values for AUDPC

than susceptible cultivars. Apparently most of the best performing genotypes were pedigrees of already released varieties such as Kenya Nyangumi, Kongoni, Kwale, Zabadi, Mbuni, Paka and NjoroBWII. There is therefore need to improve on already released varieties for trends have shown that the agronomic performance is superior. Wang et al. (2005) explained that the adult plant resistance (APR) is of major importance in breeding for an efficient genetic control strategy and added that it is possible to combine major resistance genes and APR genes to achieve durable resistance.

Adult plant host response of the genotypes to stem rust in the three locations

In Lanet 12.5% of the genotypes showed resistance to stem rust, 29.2% were moderately resistant, 54.2% were between being moderately resistant and moderately susceptible and 4% had a moderately susceptible reaction. In Njoro the genotypes with resistance were 20.8%, moderately resistant, 33.3%, moderately resistant to moderately susceptible 41.7% and moderately susceptible 4.2%. In Mau-Narok there were no genotypes showing resistance, 12.5% showed a moderately resistant reaction, 33.3% had moderately resistant to moderately susceptible and 54.2% had moderately susceptibility. The implication of host response across the locations is that there were less than 15% of the genotypes with resistance. There was a tendency where genotypes with resistance or moderately resistance in Lanet and Njoro having good yield performance across the locations such as KSL 137, 31, 33 and 50.

The relationship between FDS and genotype yield in the three locations

There was heavy disease pressure evidenced by 90% FDS values on the spreader rows and genotype Robin especially in Mau-Narok and proved by Singh et al. (2008) and Singh et al. (2011). The spreader rows of Sr 24 susceptible genotypes had the highest Final Disease Severity of 90% which implies that the races were mainly TTKST and TTKSK. Mau-Narok had many Ureniospores expressed on the crop and progressed at a faster rate than the two locations of Njoro and Lanet. Mau-Narok had the genotypes KSL 137, 53, 50, 31, 33, 17, 156, 161, 72 and KSL 44 which reported good performance in grain yield. The genotypes KSL 137, 33, 17 and 72 had FDS values ranging from 40 -50% showing that despite high disease pressure the grain yield was good. The grain yield ranged from 5.03 to 3.44 t ha¹ which outperformed the other genotypes. The genotypes therefore may be used in breeding purposes or released as varieties with good stem rust management the grain yields may increase. The genotype interacted well with the environment. In Njoro genotypes KSL 142, 50, 31, 54, 137, 44, 51 and KSL 146 reported good grain yield ranging from 2.19 to 1.70 t ha⁻¹ with FDS values ranging from 0 - 5%, there was a clear manner which showed that the genotypes with low FDS values reported high

grain yields. In Lanet the same case occurred where KSL 33, 137, 54, 31, 146 and 142 had grain yield ranging from 1.161 to 0.642 t ha⁻¹ and FDS value from 0 to 13.3%.

Correlation coefficient (r) and coefficient of determination (r^2) for AUDPC and yield, FDS and yield

In the study stem rust severity and yield relationship was explained by the negative and high correlation coefficient (r=-0.943) for AUDPC and yield (Figure 1). The Final disease Severity and yield was at (r=-0.839) (Figure 2) also having a strong negative relationship, Jeger (2004) explained that even where disease resistance is a major target in breeding programs, the effect on yield and productivity is an important trait, thus the additional value of the relationship between AUDPC and yield components. There is strong evidence from the study that grain yield loss and stem rust disease are highly associated. The coefficient of determination (r^2) was based on the amount of variability in one variable (yield) that was explained by the linear function of the other variable (AUDPC). The same case applied to FDS and yield by Gomez and Gomez, (1984). The correlation values for AUDPC and Final Disease Severity signify that yield losses increased under disease presence in a progressive manner.

Coefficient of variation (CV_i) and variance (S_i) for AUDPC and yield and final disease severity and yield

The coefficient of variation (CV_i) was used to determine stability for FDS and yield among the genotypes, from Yan (2002) visualization of the genotype stability is always an important issue in cultivar evaluation. For FDS KSL 69 (8.8%) 54 (12.38%), 161 (14.9%) and 156 (18.24%) were identified as the most stable with less than 20% CV_i from Lin et al. (1986) and the most unstable were KSL 137 (96.7%) 44 (89%) and KSL 76 (86.57%) among the top twenty four genotypes. While using the yield data to identify stability most of the genotypes were unstable.

Conclusion

The parameters used were adequate enough to distinguish resistant/susceptible, stable/unstable high yielding/low yielding genotypes where stem rust disease occurred. The genotypes KSL 161, 73 and KSL 156 were consistent in performance for the seedling, adult stage, yield, FDS stability and thousand kernel weight performances as the best. The genotypes KSL 137, 50, 161, 31, 44, 53, 33 and KSL 73 had overall performance for the seedling, adult stage, yield and thousand kernel

weight performances except for FDS and yield stability across the three locations. The genotypes KSL 156, 72, 52 and KSL 57 performed well in Njoro and Mau-Narok. In Mau-Narok genotypes KSL 137, 72, 17 and 33 performed well. The same genotypes expressed resistance or moderately resistance host response therefore superior on grain yield. The genotypes should be recommended for production or used for improving the already existing varieties. The results confirm that stem rust disease pressure was high and also caused grain yield loss. These suggest that wheat production in Kenya has to be done with effective management options available for stem rust, which may also be applicable in the Eastern Africa region. Management options should be maximized which may include a holistic approach such as integrated disease management. an To identify genotypes with yield stability more work needs to be done to identify the ones with wide adaptability across all major growing locations.

Conflict of Interests

The authors have not declared any conflict of interests.

ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS

The study was supported by the Eastern African Agriculture Productivity Project (EAAPP) and Kenya Agricultural Livestock and Research Organization (KALRO). Genotypes used were sourced from the International Center for Maize and Wheat Improvement (CIMMYT).

REFERENCES

- Ali F, Muneer M, Xu J, Rahman UH, Lu Y, Hassan W, Ullah H, Noor M, Yan J (2012). Accumulation of desirable alleles for southern leaf blight (SLB) in maize (*Zea mays* L.) under the epiphytotic of *Helminthosporium maydis*. Aust. J. Crop Sci. 6:1283-1289.
- Bingham IJ, Walters RD, Foulkes JM, Paveley DN (2009). Crop traits and the tolerance of wheat and barley to foliar disease. Ann. Appl. Biol. 154:159-170.
- Curtis T, Halford NG (2014). Food security: the challenge of increasing wheat yield and importance of not compromising food safety. Ann. Appl. Biol. 164:354-372.
- Dubin JH, Brennan PJ (2009). Combating stem and leaf rust of wheat: Historical perspective, impacts, and lessons learned. IFPRI. Discussion Paper, Washington D.C.
- Duveiller E, Singh PR, Nicol MJ (2007). The challenges of maintaining wheat productivity: Pests, disease, and potential epidemics. Euphytica 157:417-430.
- FAO (2002). Bread wheat improvement and production. In: Curtis BC, Rajaram S, Macpherson HG (Eds.). Plant Production and Protection Series Number 30.
- Finlay WK, Wilkinson NG (1963). The analysis of adaptation in a plantbreeding programme. Aust. J. Agric. Res. 14:742-54.
- Francis TR, Kannenberg LW (1978). Yield stability studies in shortseason maize. I. A descriptive method for grouping genotypes. Can. J. Plant Sci. 58:1029-1034.

- Gomez KA, Gomez AA (1984). Statistical procedures for agricultural research, John Wiley and sons, Inc. London, UK (2nd edition) pp. 13-175.
- GRDC (2012). Grains Research Development Corporation. Adult plant resistance fact sheet. Available at: https://grdc.com.au/Resources/Factsheets/2012/11/Adult-Plant-Resistance-Fact-Sheet?refresh=060735718
- Haile KJ, Roder SM (2013). Status of genetic research for resistance to Ug99 race of *Puccinia graminis* f. sp. *tritici:* A review of current research and implications. Afr. J. Agric. Res. 8:6670-6680.
- Jeger JM (2004). Analysis of disease progress as a basis for evaluating disease management practices. Ann. Rev. Phytopathol. 42:61-82.
- Jin Y, Singh RP (2006). Resistance in U.S. wheat to recent eastern African isolates of *Puccinia graminis* f. sp. *tritici* with virulence to resistance gene *Sr*31. Plant Dis. 90:476-480.
- Jin Y, Szabo LJ, Pretorius Z A, Singh RP, Ward R, Fetch TJ (2008). Detection of virulence to resistance gene *Sr*24 within race TTKS of *Puccinia graminis* f. sp. *tritici.* Plant Dis. 92:923-926.
- Jin Y, Szabo LJ, Rouse MN, Fetch TJ, Pretorius ZA, Wanyera R, Njau P (2009). Detection of virulence to resistance gene *Sr*36 within the *TTKS* race lineage of *Puccinia graminis* f. sp. *tritici.* Plant Dis. 93:367-370.
- Lin CS, Binns RM, Lefkovitch PL (1986). Stability Analysis: Where Do We Stand? Crop Sci. 26:894-900.
- Mead R, Curnow NR, Hasted MA (1993). Statistical methods in agriculture and experimental biology. Chapman and Hall, UK (2nd edition). pp. 175-181.
- Mohamed EN (2013). Genotype by environment interactions for grain yield in bread wheat (*Triticum aestivum* L). J. Plant Breed. Crop Sci. 5(7):150-157.
- Mohammadi M, Sharifi P, Karimizadeh R, Shefazadeh MK (2012). Relationships between grain yield and yield components in bread wheat under different water availability (dryland and supplemental irrigation conditions). Notulae Bot. Horti Agrobotanici Cluj-Napoca 40(1):195.
- Morgounov A, Ablova I, Babayants O, Babayants L, Bespalova L, Khudokormov Z, Litvinenko N, Shamanin V, Syukov V (2010). BGRI 2010 Technical Workshop, 30-31- May 2010, St Petersburg, Russia, Molecular genetics of race non-specific rust resistance in wheat. Available at:

http://www.globalrust.org/sites/default/files/2010%20BGRI%20Plenar y%20papers.pdf

- Njau NP, Keller B, Macharia KG, Macharia J, Singh RP, Wanyera R (2009). Resistance in Kenyan bread wheat to recent Eastern African isolate of stem rust, *Puccinia graminis* f. sp. *tritici, Ug99.* J. Plant Breed. Crop Sci. 1:22-27.
- Pathan KA, Park FR (2006). Evaluation of seedling and adult plant resistance to leaf rust in European wheat cultivars. Euphytica 149:327-342.
- Peterson RF, Campbell AB, Hannah EA (1948). A diagrammatic scale for estimating rust intensity of leaves and stem of cereals. Can. J. Res. 26:496-500.
- Roelfs AP, Singh PR, Saari EE (1992). Rust Diseases of Wheat: Concepts and methods of disease management. Mexico, D.F.: CIMMYT.
- Shaner G, Finney ER (1977). The effect of nitrogen fertilization on the expression of slow-mildewing resistance in Knox wheat. Ann. Rev. Phytopathol. 67:1051-1056.
- Shiferaw B, Smale M, Braun HJ, Duveiller E, Reynolds M, Muricho G (2013). Crops that feed the world 10. Past successes and future challenges to the role played by wheat in global food security. Food Secur. 5:291-317.
- Singh PR, Hodson PD, Huerta- Espino J, Jin Y, Bhavani S, Njau P, Herrera-Foessel S, Singh S, Govindan V (2011). The emergence of *Ug99* races of stem rust fungus is a threat to world wheat production. Ann. Rev. Phytopathol. 4:465-481.
- Singh PR, Hodson PD, Huerta-Espino J, Jin Y, Njau P, Wanyera R, Herrera-Foessel AS, Ward WR (2008). Was Stem Rust Destroy the world's Wheat Crop? Adv. Agron. 98:272-306.
- Singh PR, Hodson PD, Jin Y, Lagudah SE, Ayliffe AM, Bhavani S, Rouse NM, Pretorius AZ, Szabo JL, Huerta-Espino J, Basnet RB,

Lan C, Hovmoller SM (2015). Emergence and spread of new races of wheat stem rust fungus: continued threat to food security and prospects of genetic control. Phytopathol. 105(7):872-884.

Stakman EC, Steward DM, Loegering WQ (1962). Identification of physiological races of *Puccinia graminis* var. *tritici*. United States Department of Agriculture Agricultural Research Service E-617. UG99 factsheet (2010). Available at:

http://www.fao.org/fileadmin/templates/rust/docs/ug99factsheet.pdf USAID. (2010). Staple foods value chain analysis. Country Report. Kenya.

- Wang ZL, Li LH, He ZH, Duan XY, Zhou YL, Chen XM, Lillemo M, Singh RP, Wang H, Xia XC (2005). Seedling and adult plant resistance to powdery mildew in Chinese bread wheat cultivars and genotypes. Plant Dis. 89:457-463.
- Yan W (2002). Singular value partioting in biplot analysis of multienviroment trial data. Agron. J. 94:990-996.